

and him from his farm af^d Ejected and other harms to him did to the great Damage of him the Same Daniel And Against the Peace etc.

And Whereupon the Same Daniel Walker by Thomas Bordley his Attorney Complained that Whereas the af^d Thomas Robins Guardian as af^d the first day of October in the year of our Lord God Seventeen hundred and Twenty at Talbot County af^d did Demise to the af^d Daniel the af^d One fourth part of the af^d Severall Tracts of Land and Premisses with the appurtenances To Have and to Hold to the Same Daniel and his Assigns from the Twenty Ninth day of September then last past Untill the end and Term of five years from thence Next following and fully to be Compleat and ended By Vertue of Which Demise the Same Daniel into the af^d one fourth part of the af^d Severall Tracts of Land and premisses with the Appurtenances entered And Was thereof possessed and the Same Daniel being So thereof possessed the af^d John Bush afterw^{ds} to Witt the Same first day of October Anno Dom Seventeen hundred and Twenty af^d With force and Arms etc. into the af^d one fourth part of the af^d Severall Tracts of Land and premisses With the appurtenances which the Same Thomas Robins to the Same Daniel in forme af^d Demised for the Term af^d which is not yet past Entred and him from his farm af^d Ejected And other harms to him did to the great Damage of the Said Daniel and Against the peace etc. Wherefore he Said he was the Worse and had loss to the Value of One hundred pounds Sterling and thereof he brought the Suit etc. To Which Said [618] Matter the af^d John Bush pleaded that he was in no ways thereof Guilty and thereof put himself upon the Country and the af^d Daniel Likewise and here at the Tryal of the Issue af^d the af^d Daniel gave in Evidence that Judith Girling Was Sezed in fee of the Land in dispute and Intermarried with Stanley by Whom She had Issue four daughters Under one of Which the Lessor to the plaintiff Claims as heir at Law to her that the Said Lessor has the Same Estate in the premisses that the Said Daughter has as one of the Coheirs of the Said Judith that the Said Judith after the death of the Said Stanley Intermarried with Robert Grundy under whom the Defendant Claims that During the Intermarriage She Executed the Deed (given in Evidence by the Defendant) of the Lands in dispute to Robert Ungle that Sundry of the Wittnesses produced on part of the plaintiff declared on their Oath that they Verily beleived that the Said Judith knew not the Contents of those Deeds at or before the Time of Executing the Same and for Cause of their belief that they thought no one would Do knowingly as She Did and they were well Acquainted with her the Said Judith and that there were other Deeds of Land Executed by the Said Robert Grundy and the Said Judith of the proper Lands of the Said Robert Grundy unto the Said Robert Ungle on the Same day that the Deeds were Acknowledged under which the Defendant Claims and that they Verily beleived when the Said Judith Came to know that her Own proper Lands were Included in those Deeds She was Extremely troubled