

there being no Circumstance to induce a beliefe thereof (but Some oaths that another Man (who as this the Defendant is pretty well Informed, was of Suspected fame and Credit) took (before whom and by what authority the Defendant knows not) That the tree Stood the which is an Evidence (as the Defendant is well advised) that ought not in law and Equity, to be allowed and more especially against Such clear Demonstrations as were brought before the Court and Jury by this Defendant who allows that the Tract called Enfield Chase is later in date then Amptill Grange, but denies any part of the first, to be within the Second, and as to this Defendants being urged by any Body, to Seek for his right does not rember, but has Severall times been told by his over Seers and others that the Complainant had Seated within the Defendants tract called Enfield Chase and that then Self reservation and the Support of his right, were Sufficient to induce him to recover the Same Yet that before he Commenced his Suit he sent in a friendly manner to the Compl't to forbear further trespass or clearing More ground, and as to what he had done before the defendant wou'd be Easy and Suffer him to make a years Cropp upon the whole matter the defendant believes the Complainants pretensions to be unjust and Very ill grounded and So farr from being Concurrent wth the Certificates of the Surveys of the adjacent lands that they are Very opposite that the Tryall and Evidences Confirms his Conscience of the Justice of his cause that he believes the Jury gave [348] a Very Just Verdict agreeable to the truth and the oaths they took he believes that if any were Surprized at the Verdict it couł be for no other reason then Some prejudice, very unjustly conceived agt this defendant, without that, that any other matter or thing material for this Deft to make answer unto and not herein before answered Confessed, traverssed or Denied is true, Wherefore he humbly prays to be hence dismissed with his reasonable Costs and charges in this behalf most wrongfully Sustained

Tho Macnemara

May 31 1717 Then came Charles Carroll Esq^r the Deft and Swore that what is alledged in this answer to relate to the knowledge of any other person he believes to be true and what is alleaged to relate to his own knowledge he Swears to be true. Sworne before me

Tho Brooke

The Replication of Thomas Wells to the answer of Charles Carroll Esq^r Defendant to the bill of Comp^t of the said Wells Complainant

The said Complainant for replication unto the said Defendants Said answer Sayth That the said Bill of Complaint by him exhibited into this Hon^{ble} Court ag^t the said defendant is Very true certain and Sufficient in the law to be by him the s^d Defendant answered unto and that all and every the matters and allegations in the said Bill of Compl't contained are by him therein Set forth upon good cause and just ground and occasion of Suit And