Wherefore the plantiff by Will<sup>m</sup> Bladen his Attorney prays that Execution may be done as in Writt Laid.

It is therefore considered by the Court here the day and Year last mentioned that the said Hugh Hall Administrator as aforesaid have Execution of the Judgm<sup>t</sup> aforesaid so obtaind as aforesaid against the said Magdalen Edmondson Administratrix as Aforesaid of the goods and chattles Which were of the said James Edmondson Son and heir of the said John Edmondson to witt as well of the [223] Sume of Fifty four pounds Eight shillings and three pence the Debt aforesaid togeather with the Sume of Six hundred and ninety one pounds of tobaccoe costs of suite as the Summe of Six hundred and Forty two pounds of tobaccoe Additionall costs Accruing upon this writt of Scire facias to be leavyd of the goods and Chattles which were of the said James the Son and heir Aforesaid at the time of his death in the hands of the said Administratrix remaining to be Administred etc.

In Testimony whereof the Seale of the Provinciall Court is hereunto Affixed

per Inº Beale Clr Prov1 Cort

## James Edmondsons Adrs v Hugh Halls Admrs

Errors Assigned on the Judgment rendred in the Provinciall Court for Halls Administrators against Edmondsons Administrators Vizt

Afterwards to witt the Eighteenth day of Aprill Anno Domini Seaventeen hundred and twelve before the President of her Majestys Councill of Maryland and the same Councill sitting at the City of Annapolis the aforesaid Jacob Lookerman and Magdalen his wife by Thomas Bordley their Attorney comes and says that in the Record and process and also in the rendring the Judgment aforesd and Awarding Execution thereupon against the said Magdalen in forme aforesaid it is Manifestly Erred

- 1<sup>th</sup> In That it appears by the Record that the said Hugh Hall Adm<sup>r</sup> brought Action of Debt against James Edmondson Son and heir of John Edmondson in the Debet and detinet which is contrary to the formes in the Register and should be in the detinet only also.
- 2<sup>dly</sup> In that the said Hugh Halls Administrator declared not by way of recitall as in debt on Specialty he ought
- g<sup>aly</sup> Also in that the Court Exparte did order Comission to Aditours [sic] to Examine the Accounts between the said partys which ought [224] not to have been in that Action without the consent of both partys at the least
- 4<sup>thly</sup> Also in that the Court gave Judgment upon the report of the Auditors Comissionated as aforesaid for A Sume neither claimd by the plantiff Warranted by the Obligation nor confest by the Defendant
- 5<sup>thly</sup> Also in that the Judgment entred is absolutely against the said James Edmondson the heir of John the Obligor for the Summe therein mentiond without limitting how the sd Sume Should be leavyed.