The yeas and nays were called and appeared as follows:

AFFIRMATIVE.

Messrs.	Garey,	Parran, John,
Austin,	Hardcastle,	Peters,
Barnes,	Henderson,	Pole,
Bell,	Hodson,	Rider,
Bradley,	Hubbard,	Riggs,
Brewer, Bal. city.	Kilbourn,	Ritchie,
Brewer, of Mont.	Massey,	Roman,
Brooke,	McPherson,	Spates,
Cover,	Merrick,	Stoddert,
Denson,	Mitchell,	Tarr, Caroline,
Dorsey,	Morris,	Tarr, of Wor.
Duvall,	Motter,	Thomas,
Emack,	Murray,	Toadvine,
Ferry,	Nelson,	Watkins, C43.
Finley,	Parran, Chas. S.	

NEGATIVE.

Messrs.	Goldsborough, D.	McCormick,
Alvey,	Groome,	McMaster,
Archer,	Hall,	Nicolai,
Brown,	Hayden,	Page,
Carter,	Hollyday,	Parker,
Cosgrove,	Horsey, of Fred.	Pleasants,
Cunningham,	Jamison,	Ringgold,
Devries,	Jones,	Rogers,
Dobbin,	Keating,	Silver,
Evans,	Kennedy,	Wallace,
Farnandis,	Lee,	Walsh,
Ford,	Longwell,	Watkins, of Mon.
Gill,	Mackubin,	Wickes—38.

So the decision of the Chair was sustained.

Mr. Rider moved that the Report be ordered to be engrossed for a third reading;

The question being upon sustaining the motion,

Mr. Horsey, of Frederick, moved the previous question.

The question then being,

"Shall the previous question be now put?"

Mr. Page demanded the yeas and nays;

The demand being sustained,

The yeas and nays were called and appeared as follows: