for Baltemore County the first Tuesday in ffebruary next Ensueing Liber W. C. to answere unto John Nicholls of a plea of Trespasse of the Case, And the Declaracon sayes Susanna Uty was Attached to answere unto John Nicholls of a plea that she Render unto him the sume of Nine hundred pounds of Tobacco went to him she Oweth and unjustly Detaineth Soe that the writ Doth not warrant the Declaracon aforesaid went is Error:

(2<sup>dly</sup>) The said John in and by his Declaration aforesaid sayd That Whereas the said Susanna stands Jusly indebted to the said John the Just sume of Nine hundred pounds of tobacco wth Caske Although the said John the said sume of Nine hundred pounds of Tobacco hath often of the said susanna Demanded Yett the said Susanna hath altogether refused to pay &c. wch is manifestly Eronious in that the said John doth not in and by the sd Declaracon sett Downe the certaine time Neither Day, Month nor Yeare when the said debt supposed to be due was Contracted Nor how Nor for what, whether by specialty Mutualus Simple Contract or Otherwise w<sup>ch</sup> ought specially and Certainely to have been layd for for Ought appeares by the Declaration or the writ aforesaid the Cawse of accon might have risen upon a debt by Special Contract of Mutualus or otherwise w<sup>th</sup>out Specialty w<sup>ch</sup> might be above Two yeares before the said action was begunn or Comenced. and soe the same would have been barred by an Act of Assembly Entituled an Act for Limitacon of certaine actions to avoyd Suites att Lawe wch doth prohibit any accon of debt lending or Contract Trespasse upon the Case &c to be Comenced after two yeares Expired from such Cawse of Accon, and if the same debt had risen upon any Specialty he Ought to have Certainely alleadged ye same that the said Susanna might have Craved Oyer thereof the better to Enable her to plead thereunto, w<sup>ch</sup> is Vitious uncertaine & manifest Erro<sup>r</sup>: (3<sup>dly</sup>) The Jury in their Verdict doe say Wee finde that the p<sup>lt</sup>

(3<sup>dly</sup>) The Jury in their Verdict doe say Wee finde that the p<sup>tt</sup> ought to have his wages & foure hundred & ffifty pounds of Tobacco Damages w<sup>th</sup> costs. Which verdict is very vitious Erronious and uncertaine & the same is not maintaineable by the Declaration w<sup>ch</sup> Declares for debt and not for wages, & there is not one word of wages in all the Proceedings, They also Ought to have sett Downe how much the p<sup>tt</sup> Ought to have for his wages & have ascertained that aswell as ye damages the Not ascertaineing whereof is Erro<sup>r</sup> And thereupon the sayd susanna sayth that in the Record and processe aforesaid there is manifest Erro<sup>r</sup> & for the reasons aforesaid and others therein Contained she Prayeth the proceedings aforesaid and Judgmen thereupon may be Quashed Reversed, adnulled & made voyd, & that she unto all w<sup>ch</sup> she by Occasion thereof hath sustained may be restored.

And the said John Nicholls by Robert Ridgely his Attorney cometh and Defendeth the force and Injury when &c and prayeth Lib-