

Liber W. C. said Informacōn was comenced, the same Oath to be there Entred upon Record, As by the said Seaverall Acts Relacōn being thereunto had may more att Large appeare, The said Anthony sayth that the said Edward in and by his said Informacōn doth sett forth that the said Anthony upon the Last day of January in the yeare of our Lord 1678 Did privately within the Limits of the Province aforesaid, Joyne in Marriage one Christopher Randall and Johannah Norman widdow without publicacōn made or Certificate thereof had, or without perticuler Lycence had from The Right hono^{ble} the Lord Prop^{ry} or his Lewetenn^t Generall or Cheife Governo^r for the time being as by the said act of Assembly in the said Informacon Mentioned is Directed contrary to the said Act of Assembly, And Itt appeares that the Information of him the said Edward Lunn who aswell for himself as the Lord Prop^{ry} in this behalfe prosecuteth was filed the Eighteenth day of ffebruary in the yeare of our Lord 1679 w^{ch} is above one year after the said supposed breach of the said Act of Assembly, And that by Lawe noe processe upon any information Ought to Issue till such informacōn filed, soe that the said Edward Who as well for himself as the Lord Prop^{ry} followes is by the said Act of Parliament of the One & thirtyeth of Queene Elizabeth aforesaid Absolutely barred from his said accōn against the said Anthony, Nor hath the sd Edward in and by his said Informacōn Expressed or Declared in what County the said pretended breach of the said act of Assembly was by the said Anthony comitted as by Law he ought to have done & declared, The not doeing whereof is directly contrary to ye sd Act of the 21th of King James before mentioned, Therefore y^e said Information is utterly voyd and Insufficient All w^{ch} the sd Anthony Demondadeir is ready to averre & prayes Judgment of the Court & that the Informacōn aforesaid may be Quashed

p. 502 And the said Edward Who aswell &c sayth that for anything above Alleadged by the said Anthony hee Ought not to be Debarred from haveing his said accōn against him because he sayth that the said Accōn of the said Edward above as aforesaid brought is not an Informacōn as the said Anthony above in his plea aforesaid vainly supposeth, But an accōn grounded on an Originall writt as by the Record thereof manifestly appeareth w^{ch} said action hath Relacōn to the date or test of the Originall writt of the said Edward who aswell &c^a And not to the filing of the said Declaracōn, And the said Edward further sayth that the said Originall writt of him the said Edward who aswell &c^a beareth date within the yeare after the said Last day of January 1678. on w^{ch} day the said Offence Committed by the said Anthony that is to say the sixteenth day of October 1679, and Soe within the said statute of the One and thirtieth yeare of the said Queene Elizabeth by the said Anthony above sett forth, And the said Edward who aswell &c further saith that as