sayth as before in the precedent Article the same is not sufficient in Liber W.C. Law to charge her:

(5<sup>thly</sup>)—Hee saith to ditto Bell an Execucon undertaken to pay for him Nine hundred sixty foure pounds of Tobacco, but to whome or upon what proces he was in Execucon, att whose suite, upon What Judgment & in what Court obtained he doth not sett forth, But the plt ought to have said and laid in his Declaracon, That the said Henry Bell being in ye Custody of this Deft by vertue of such a Judgment Obtained in such a Court at ye suite of &ca In Con- p. 498 sideracon that he the said plt at the speciall instance and request of him the said Thomas stagg would Discharge the said Henry from the said Imprissonment Did Assume to pay &ca, Or some such consideracon Ought to have beene precisely sett forth without wch allegation and suggestion the Declaracon, is Vitious uncertaine and Erronious, & the same she also sayth as before in the third Article and that she is not in Lawe Chargeable therewith being an Admrx as aforesaid

Hee sayes To the arrest of Richard Ocane ffifty five pounds of Tobacco wch is more then the Lawe allowes wch allowes but thirty five, & Neither doth he say when he was arrested, nor at whose suite Nor by what writt, Nor from what Court Nor what Cause or reason the said Thomas Stagg should be obleiged to pay the same, and Justly the Defts Ought to pay the ffees of their Arrests The plt sayth in ye said accot To Henry Bell you Assumed to pay me for ffees 275th Tob: but doth not sett forth what those ffees were for how due or accrued Nor for what consideration the said Thomas made that Assumption

(8<sup>thly</sup>)Hee saves to ditto Assumed for serveing Execução 200<sup>ti</sup> Tob: but doth not say for how much the Execucon was for that it may appeare due Whether the same were Legally due, Or at whose suite he was in Execution Nor out of what Court issued. Nor upon what Consideration the said Thomas made that Assumption as aforesaid

(Othly) Hee sayes To ditto Assumed for Imprisonment 200th Tob: But doth not sett forth at whose suite he was imprissoned Nor by what processe nor from what Court issued Nor upon what consideracon the said Thomas made that Assumption Nor how long he was in Prisson that might appeare to the Court how the said Two hundred became due, In all wch cases he ought to have alleaged a sufficient Consideracon as aforesaid And therefore the same is Vitious and Erronious as afores<sup>d</sup> and the Deft not in Lawe chargeable therewith

(10thly)—He chargeth to John Manley for Clerkes ffees 242li Tob: But doth not sett forth the particulers forwhat the said ffees were for that it might appeare whether these debts are due or not, and by Lawe of this Province None ought to be charged for any ffees by