

Cuninghams Ex <sup>ts</sup> ag <sup>t</sup> Marg <sup>t</sup> Hall Ex <sup>x</sup> Walter Hall	}	Comand was given to the Sheriffe of S <sup>t</sup> Maryes County, that by good & lawfull men of his baily- wick he make known unto Margaret Hall Exec <sup>x</sup> of the last will & testam <sup>t</sup> of Walter Hall deceased that she be & appeare here the fourth day of	Liber N N p. 87 <sup>r</sup>
---	---	--	---------------------------------

March in the fourth year of the Dominion of Charles Lord Baltimore &c Annoq̄ Doni 1678. to shew cause (if any she haue) why execution should not issue forth upon a judgem<sup>t</sup> for Six thousand Seven hundred Sixty two pounds of tobacco debt together with five hundred forty foure pounds of tobacco costs of suite obtained against the said Walter Hall the nineteenth day of february Annoq̄ Doni 1677. by Thomas Carlisle & John Watson Exec<sup>ts</sup> of the last Will & testam<sup>t</sup> of John Cuningham deceased. On which said fourth day of March the same Sheriffe made returne of the writt aforesaid, that in the p<sup>s</sup>ence of Edward Ward, Henry ffox & W<sup>m</sup> Coulverhouse he had made known unto the aforesaid Margaret Hall as by the same Writt he was comanded.

And the said Margaret Hall by Robert Ridgely her Attorney cometh & defendeth the force & injury when &c and prayeth liberty to imparle hereunto untill next Provincial Court & itt is granted unto her, the same day is given to the p<sup>t</sup> also

Now here att this day to witt the Sixth day of June in the fourth yeare of the Dominion of Charles Lord Baltimore &c Annoq̄ Doni 1679. came as well the said p<sup>ts</sup> as the said Defend<sup>t</sup> by their Attorneys aforesaid, And the said Defend<sup>t</sup> by her said Attorney saith, that Execution against the Goods & Chattles of the said Walter Hall in her hands remaining ought not to issue, because she saith, that the said judgm<sup>t</sup> against the said Walter Hall in his life tyme was obtained by Thomas Carlisle & John Watson as Exec<sup>ts</sup> of the last Will & testam<sup>t</sup> of John Cuningham deceased, whereas William Hatton & Robert Graham were also Exec<sup>ts</sup> of the last Will and testam<sup>t</sup> of the last Will & testam<sup>t</sup> of the said John Cuningham deceased And no Execution against the Goods of the said Walter in the hands of the said Margaret ought to issue att the suite of the Execrs of the said Cuningham, unless all the Exec<sup>ts</sup> are made parties to the suite And the said Margaret saith that the said W<sup>m</sup> Hatton & Robert Graham Exec<sup>ts</sup> aforesaid att the tyme of the obtaining of the judgm<sup>t</sup> were & still are aliuie And this she is ready to averr, & therefore demands judgm<sup>t</sup> of the said Thomas & John as Exec<sup>ts</sup> of the said Cuningham Execution as aforesaid ought to have.

And the said Thomas & John say, that they ought not to be debarred from haueing Execution aforesaid, for that they say, that the matter above in barr pleaded by the said Margaret is matter accruing before the said judgment by consent of the said Walter confessing the said judgment in his life tyme, whereby all advantage of Error is taken away, & this they are ready to averr, & craves judgm<sup>t</sup> of the Court, & the said Margaret likewise And hereupon