is said att which day to witt the seventeenth day of Octob^r aforesaid, Liber N N which ought to have bin the thirteenth of June aforesaid, & the cause 2^{dly} is then continued till the thirteenth of July following

The proceedings in the said Court are undue illegall & erronious in this that the said Peca appearing att the tenth day of July ready with His Wittnesses to come to a tryall, the Court discontinues p. 580 the cause, by reason of the Clerkes absence in June Court upon publick busines Whereas the Court may in the absence of the Clerke disabled by sicknes or any other (though never so legall) impedim^t 3^{dly} depute another to officiate without any speciall Deputacon, or att least the cause ought to have bin continued till the next Court, & not the whole busines of the County to be delayed by any laches of the Clerk not attending his office, but they ought to have proceeded to tryall of the cause without putting the defend^t to the trouble of a new Arrest which is vexatious & illegall twice for one & the same matter to Arrest any person

Itt is said in the Record that the writt issued the tenth of July returnable the eighth of August, & the Defendt being arrested then appeared ready to defend himselfe & then the said eighth day of August the cause was continued till the next Court which was to be athly held the twelfth day of September next, & thence to be continued untill the seventeenth day of Octobr next, all which is illegall false & erronious in this, for that the reasons of the continuance & att whose request ought to have bin menconed, for if the Defendt was then ready with his Wittnesses & the plaintiffe not ready, he ought to have bin nonsuited, & the defendt not farther referred nor Could the Court on the eighth of August say that the cause should be continued till the twelfth of Septembr which should be continued till the seventeenth of Octobr & so the Defendt from Court to Court continued till the plaintiffe should be ready, & the defendt might be determined & concluded unheard or not haveing his Wittnesses ready as he twice before had them

The Record & Judgem^t aforesaid are manifestly erronious in this, 5thly that the accon of the case is in the name of Henry Stocket genth high Sheriffe &c who declares in trespas of the case agt the defendt for his supposed takeing away of two thousand six hundred pds of to-bacco by the said Sheriffe by fieri facias levyed of the proper Goods & Chattels of Thomas Knighton, & by the said Sheriffe marked with the broad arrow for satisfaction of a debt & Judgemt recovered in the said County Court by Thomas Taillor Esqr agt the said Thomas Knighton for two thousand foure hundred pds of tobacco with costs of suite, whereas the said accon (if any ought to have bin) should have bin comenced in the name of Thomas Taillor Esqr to whom the supposed wrong & trespas was done And itt is usuall for the plaintiffes to give the Sheriffe caution to indempnifie the Sheriffe upon the Levying of Goods by fieri facias, so that the plaintiffe