

Liber N N Afterwards to witt on the same day came the jurors aforesaid & with unanimous consent did deliver their Verdict by their fforeman W<sup>m</sup> Hopkins namely that they all found for the plaintiffe.

Whereas Henry Stocket late high Sheriffe of this County was plaintiffe & Robert Peca defend<sup>t</sup> in a plea of trespas on the case And the plaintiffe by a jury of the Countrey recovered from the defend<sup>t</sup> the full & just Sume or quantity of two thousand six hundred pounds of tobacco & caske wrongfully taken by the Defend<sup>t</sup> out of the said Henrys custody, & by him the said Defend<sup>t</sup> conveyed to places unknown to the said Henry Itt it considered here that the said Defend<sup>t</sup> shall forthwith pay or satisfie to the said Henry the plaintiffe or his order the said sume or quantity of two thousand six hundred p<sup>ds</sup> of tobacco & cask together with costs & court Charges ats Execucōn

Ordered likewise that Thomas Knightons engagem<sup>t</sup> to pay a certaine sume of tobacco to Co<sup>t</sup> Samuel Chew, & the said Co<sup>t</sup> Chews receipt of part of the said sume subscribed under the said Engagem<sup>t</sup> be entred on Record which are as followeth viz<sup>t</sup>  
Superscription

These for the Hon<sup>rd</sup> Co<sup>t</sup> Samuell Chew p<sup>r</sup>sent  
S<sup>r</sup>

This my noat shall engage me to satisfie unto you two thousand foure hundred pounds of tobacco upon the account of my Landlord Robert Peca, itt being for rent from

Yo<sup>r</sup> Servant Tho: Knighton

4<sup>th</sup> Novemb<sup>r</sup> 1675

Rec<sup>d</sup> in part of this noat One thousand eight hundred twenty two pounds of tobacco by me—Samuell Chew

ffeb: 15<sup>th</sup> day 1675

15 to 5

The bill of costs amounts to..... 94<sup>l</sup>

As by the transcript of the said Record remaining upon Record in the Secretaryes Office relation being thereunto had may appeare.

Afterwards to witt the said thirteenth day of ffebruary aforesaid came as well the said Robert Peca by Robert Carville his Attorney as the said Henry Stocket by George Parker his Attorney as aforesaid And the said Robert Peca saith, that in the Record & proces abovesaid as in the rendring of the judgem<sup>t</sup> aforesaid itt is manifestly erred in this viz<sup>t</sup>.

Whereas itt is menconed in the Record att the tyme when the judgem<sup>t</sup> was given, was given, p<sup>r</sup>sent Com<sup>rs</sup> Co<sup>t</sup> W<sup>m</sup> Burges, Major  
1<sup>st</sup> Samuel Lane Cap<sup>t</sup> Richard Hill, Nathaniel Heathcoat. Itt is evident to be made appeare that M<sup>r</sup> Burges M<sup>r</sup> Lane & M<sup>r</sup> Heathcoat were not there p<sup>r</sup>sent, but only M<sup>r</sup> Richard Hill, so that the Record is Vitious false & erroneous.

The Record is also false & vitious in this, that the first writt on w<sup>ch</sup> Peca was taken was returnable the thirteenth of June. And itt