

U. H. J.
Liber No. 36
Nov. 10

Saturday Morning 10 of November 1770.

The House met again according to Adjournment.

Present as Yesterday.

Mess:^{rs} John Paca and Deye from the Lower House bring up a Bill entitled, "An Act to divide Saint Georges Parish in Baltimore County" Read the first and second time in the Lower House and will pass.

Mess:^{rs} Heugh and Wootton from the Lower House bring up a Bill, entitled. "An Act to Establish a Markett in Frederick Town in Frederick County, and for the Regulation of the said Markett"—Read the first and second time in the Lower House and will pass.

Mess:^{rs} Holliday and Wright from the Lower House bring up a Bill, entitled. "A Supplementary Act to the Act entitled An Act to enable the Justices of Queen Anns County, for the time being, to Levy on the Taxable Inhabitants of Saint Lukes Parish, in the said County, a Quantity of Tobacco for Building of a Chapel, in the said Parish" Read the first and second time in the Lower House and will pass—

These three Bills were Read the first and Second time, by an especial Order, in this House, and will pass—so Endorsed and Sent to the Lower House by Daniel Dulany Esq.^r

The following Message is Sent to the Lower House by John Ridout Esq:

By the Upper House of Assembly 10:th November 1770

Gentlemen.

In the last Session of Assembly We returned with a Negative and Message the Bill entitled. "An Act for Amending the Staple of Tobacco, for preventing Frauds in his Majestys Customs, and for the Regulation of Officers Fees." Our Message containing a general Proposition for Alterations we hoped might produce a Conference, and thereby facilitate an Agreement between the two Houses, for passing an Act of so great Utility to the Province—

We were then governed by Precedents, which appear on the Journals, particularly in the Year 1745, when an Act for the Limitation of Officers Fees which had taken Rise in the Lower House, was returned from this with a Negative and Message—Upon the Return of this Bill in the manner mentioned the Lower House proposed a Conference by Message, and a Bill in Consequence of it was framed

In the Year 1766 when the Journal of Accounts was returned to your House with a Negative; there was a Similar proceeding. But this Method having failed of the Effect we wished, and imagining it might be more agreeable to you that a Conference should be proposed by this House, we this Session retained your Bill, and on our part made the overture for a Conference according to the Course