

U. H. J.  
Liber No. 36  
Dec. 18

Did, on the said 17.<sup>th</sup> day of November, Execute and Acknowledge Deeds of Bargain and Sale to the said Joseph Foreman, Bartlet Townshend, and John Mitchell, severally, for the Lands so as aforesaid by them respectively purchased, and afterwards, Viz.<sup>t</sup> on the 5.<sup>th</sup> Day of April last past, did, in further Conformity to the said Act, deliver to Bedingfield Hands Esq. the af.<sup>d</sup> Sum by them mentioned to have been received, and also the Bonds af.<sup>d</sup>, as by his Receipt therefor hereunto Annexed will appear.

The Sums by the Commissioners severally disbursed and expended, in Executing the Trusts to them Committed by the said Act, are as follow Viz.<sup>t</sup>

By William Allen, as per the Receipt of Will <sup>m</sup> Ellegood } hereunto Annexed . . . . . }	...16....
By Levin Gale, as per his Account hereunto Annexed. .	11.17. 6
By Henry Steele, as per his Account likewise Annexed.	6. 6. 1
And their Commission on the said Sale, at the rate prescribed by the said Act Viz. <sup>t</sup> 5 per Cent amounteth to }	57.12. 4½
the whole of their Disbursements & Commissions as above mentioned make the Sum of . . . . . }	<u>£76.11.11½</u>

All which is Submitted to this General Assembly.

2.<sup>d</sup> December 1769

William Allen  
Levin Gale  
Henry Steele

The following Message, together with the Bill Entitled an Act for Issuing Writs of Replevin out of the County Courts of this Province, is sent by John Ridout Esq.

By the Upper House of Assembly December 18.<sup>th</sup> 1769  
Gentlemen.

The Apparent Object of the Bill, for Issuing Writs of Replevin out of the County Courts of this Province, is a Speedy Remedy to Persons living at a Distance from the Chancery; and this Object, you must Acknowledge, would be as Effectually obtained with as without Our Amendment.

As the Ease and Convenience of the People, arising from the Speedy Remedy, were really Consulted, so we flattered Ourselves that the Provision would not fail because the Profits of the Seals were not Allowed to be diminished, Had we attempted not to guard against the Abolition of an old Establishment, but to introduce a new Fee to the Chancellor upon Writts of Replevin, the Expression in Your Message "*paying a Fine under the Name of a Fee for the Facile obtaining of Justice,*" would have been more proper, and it appears to us to be not a little extraordinary, that a Bill, professedly calculated for the Relief of the People, should be laid aside because the Chancellor is not to pay for it.

The Convenience to the People, from the intended Law, you Sup-