

divers & sundry parcells & potions of Physicke in all amounting to the sume of foure hundred eighty nine pounds of Tobacco, as by a particular account here in Court produced more plainly may appeare, In Consideraçon whereof the s<sup>d</sup> George did then assume on himselfe, & to the s<sup>d</sup> Nicholas did faithfully promise, th<sup>t</sup> hee the s<sup>d</sup> George unto the s<sup>d</sup> Nicholas when thereunto required the s<sup>d</sup> sume of 489<sup>th</sup> of Tobacco, although often thereunto required hath not satisfied neither to the s<sup>d</sup> Nicholas in his life time, nor to the s<sup>d</sup> John to whom Administration of all & singular the goods Chattles & Creditts of the s<sup>d</sup> Nicholas were Comitted since his death, whereupon the s<sup>d</sup> John sayth hee is damnified & hath lost to the value of six hundred pounds of Tobacco & thereupon hee bringeth his Suite: Liber F

The defendant George Taylor in his own proper person comes & defends the suite, & pleading an act of Assembly Intituled an act of Limitation for sundry Causes, It is ordered by the worshipfull the Court th<sup>t</sup> the defendant George Taylor have a nonsuite against the plaintiffe John Allen as Adm<sup>r</sup> to the goods Chattles & Creditts of Nicholas Solby with cost of suite noe bill of Cost entered

In a Cause depending Between John Allen as Adm<sup>r</sup> to the goods Chattles & Creditts of Nicholas Solby plaintiffe, & Stephen Gough Defendant, M<sup>r</sup> Gough being not present himselfe, it ordered th<sup>t</sup> the action be referred till the next Court. [p. 6]

M<sup>r</sup> Allen being sick it is ordered th<sup>t</sup> all his other actions be referred till the next Court.

In a Cause Depending between John Court plaintiffe on the behalfe of the Lord Proprietarie & himselfe & John Hartwell Defendant

Bee it well remembred that the 11<sup>th</sup> day of August in the 43<sup>d</sup> yeare of the Dominion of Caecilius &c over this Province Annoq̄ Doñi 1674 Comes here in Court John Courts planter, & exhibited here in Court his Certaine Information against John Hartwell of this County, the tenour of which information followeth in these words To the Worshipfull the Justices of Charles County,

Bee it well remembred that John Courte planter who as well for the Lord Proprietarie as for himselfe in this Behalfe Comes here in Court the 11<sup>th</sup> day of August in the yeare afores<sup>d</sup> by his Attorney Samuel Cressey, who as well for the Lord Proprietarie as for himselfe, giveth here to be Informed that whereas by a Certaine act made at a generall Assembly held at S<sup>t</sup> Maries on the 7<sup>th</sup> day of March Anno Doñi (1671) it was then Enacted that noe person should Entertaine a servant being run away from his Masters service on night under paine of the Penalty in the s<sup>d</sup> Act generally expressed, Notwithstanding which the s<sup>d</sup> John Hartwell the Acts made by the Authority afores<sup>d</sup> not regarding nor the Penalty of the same at all fearing, at Portobacco in the County afores<sup>d</sup> did (to wit) on the