Philip Bisse Plaintife versus Daniel Johnson Defendt in a Plea Liber C of trespasse upon the case; Dammages to the value of [blank] Writt of Capias to the Sherrife retornable ut Supra

By virtue of this writt I have taken Daniel Johnson within Sherrifes written whose Bodie at the Day and Place I have readie as the retorne writt me requireth. Jonath. Marler Dep Sherrife

Thomas Baker Plaintife versus Humphrey Warren as Attorney of Philip Bisse in a Plea of trespasse upon the case; Dammages to the value of [blank] Writt of Capias to the Sherrife Retornable ut Supra

By virtue of this writt I have taken Mr Humphry Warren At- Sherrifes torney of Philip Bisse whose bodie I have readie at the Day and retorne place as the writt requireth Jonath. Marler Dep Sherrife

Mr Joseph Harrison Complainant versus Clement Theobalds and Marie his wife Defendts in a Case of equitie Dammages to the value of [blank] Writt of Subpaena in Chancerie to the Sherrife and Subpaena to Alexander Sympson & Izabella Dickenson to give evidence, Retornable on the 9th of June 1668.

Daniel Johnson Plaintife versus Thomas Baker Defendt in a Plea of Trespasse upon the Case; Damages to the value of [blank] Writt of Capias to the Sherrife retornable ut Supra.

Executed

Jonath. Marler Dep Sherrife

Sherrifes retorne

At a Court held in Charles Countie on the Ninth Day of June [p. 268] Anno Dni 1668.

Justices)

Mr Henrie Adams

Mr Zachariah Wade

Present [

M^r Francis Pope Mr James Lyndsey Mr Joseph Harrison

Charles Hey Servant of Mr Benjamin Rozer was adjudged by the Court to be Sixteen yeares of age.

Nathaniel Barton presented a Servt by the Name of Thomas Tiblee to have his age adjudged by the Court who was adjudged to be Twentie yeares of age

Mr Francis Pope in the behalfe of Robert Hunley Presented a Servant by the name of Gregorie Sudberie to have his age adjudged and the Court adjudged him to be Sixteen yeares of age.

Mr Humphrey Warren Attorney of Philip Bisse Plaintife

Daniel Johnson Defendt

The Plaintife and Defendt made their appearance the Plaintife by Attorney the Defendt personallie and the Plaintifes Attorney not being able to Produce the Plaintifes Account in Court whereon the Action was grounded It was ordered tht the Plaintife should be Nonsuited