if no such Assignmt had been would have acrued to his Master Liber C Robinson, and as by an Act of Assemblie is in such case provided,

Hereupon for Proofe of the Plaintifes absenting himselfe from his Master Robbertson, and how long the Defendt produceth a note under the hand of the said Robbertson certifieing the same together wth what Damage he Susteined thereby as followeth vizt

These may Certifie whom it may Concerne That David Ralston was ranne away from me two Monthes and Seaven dayes before I bound him over to Daniel Johnson, and further I will take my Corporall oath that I Lost my Croppe by him in Corne, and tobaccoe as Witnes my hand this 25th of October 1667.

> The marke HRI of Robbertson

Allsoe the Defendt for further proofe of the said Ralston the Plaintifes absenting himselfe from the said Robertson Subpaenad Edmund Lyndsey into the Court who Deposed as followeth

Edmund Lyndsey Sworne in open Crt saith That the Plaintife came to his house in August last was twelvemonth & there remained 3 weeks or thereabout, and this Deponent saith he hired him, but [p. 247] yet Suspected him to be a runnaway after his hiring of him because upon his this Deponents questioning of him where he had lived. and whom he Served he replied first about Akamack, and afterwards at the Clifts, and this Deponent further saith That so soone as he understood tht he had lived about the Clifts, he desired Mr Sprigge to give notice at Patuxen tht There was such a man in such a habite describing him as well as he could, and that if anie person Claimed him he was at the Deponents house, and Mr Sprigge did give notice of the same accordinglie and found out his master Robertson who thereupon sent to this Deponents house, and Carried him the Plaintife home, and further he Saith not

Hereupon the Plaintifes Attorney and the Defendt agree to put the Busines to a Jurie, and accordinglie there issued a writt of venire facias to the Sherrife to Sumon a jurie of twelve honest men to trie whether the said Ralston were free or no whose Names were as followeth vizt Stephen Montague foreman, John Worland, Thomas Allanson Thomas Henshall, William Baker, Henrie Neale, John Mun, Owen Jones, Thomas King John Hackister Bennet Marchagay, John Walton, who upon Serious Consideracon had, of the Depositions aforesaid, and the Allegacons on both sides retorne their verdict as followeth vizt

The Jurie findeth for the Plaintife against the Defendt, and that the Plaintife is free and hath Served his time according to his Indenture because the Defendt did not Commence Suite against the Plaintife for the time he was absent, the Plaintife having Served since the Indenture was expired as long time as he had absented himselfe, And the Defendt did not Comence suite to have the Benefit