

have presented it. The reason why the address they sent on that occasion, inclosed in a letter to their agent here, (said to be the Messrs. Franklin, then in London) was not delivered by one of his Majesty's principal Secretaries of State, nor was ever presented to his Majesty, is said to arise from the impropriety of the address itself, drawn up contrary to rule and form: Besides, the Querist, on enquiry, will find, that it is not the first address, either from America or here, that has not been delivered to the sacred person: however, the Querist's constituents might have informed him why it was not presented; and if they have any doubt now remaining, which I question, they may still be well informed, as the agents reside in the neighbouring provinces.

Contemporary Printed Pamphlet Md. Hist. Soc. p. 15

Query 9th. Does not a certain C---t Esquire in London, mentioned in the Court Calendar, under the article of Maryland, as Agent, represent the people, or only the Proprietor of this Province? If it is said the former, and that this gentleman's christian name appears to be C---s, the Proprietor's Chief Secretary of this Province; Query, by virtue of what power or authority does he assume that title, or by what logical distinction, instilled by a St. Omer's education, will he be able to justify such a duplicity of character?

Answer. The designed venom enveloped by the Querist in the above query, is like the provincial rattle-snake coiled up, whose position is best prevented by a switch. Mr. Calvert, I am informed, is the provincial secretary, appointed and commissioned by the Lord Baltimore his nephew. p. 16

The said gentleman being asked, Whether he availed himself of being provincial agent, replied, In no manner of ways under any such provincial employ; that he disavowed and duplicity of character as insinuated by the Querist, one of which is founded solely on the blunder of the Printer of the Court Calendar, who made the place mentioned, and disposed of it to C----t, Esq; in London, with no more reason for so doing, than the author of the Queries has for publishing such an heap of incoherent groundless assertions, and invective insinuations.

Pleased, but not satisfied, with spreading such injurious falshoods, he goes on in the same query, in expanding the malice of his rancorous heart to personal reflections on the above gentleman.—Lest the venom of his pen should have the success desired in his inuendo of a St. Omer's education, I think proper to obviate it, by giving the following true narrative. --- C---t, Esq. was sent to St. Omer's at an age too young to imbibe any principles hinted at, and from thence soon recalled; after that, and from about ten years of age, he had his education and religious principles at a Protestant school at Cheam [sic] in Surry; that as he was bred, so he has ever since continued, a Protestant, according and conformable to the doctrines of the church of England by law established. He is a frequenter of p. 17