omission We have now in our Possion one of the Origil Proci for L. H. J. Publication of Clauses of the £40,000 Law which with those Clauses was printed by Mr Green the province Printer and for which he hath been satisfied by the Public

We are equally at a Loss under these Circumstances to Account for the Addition of the 7448.16 Tobo as we are to conjecture whe. your Hon. rs and your Committee took no Notice of the Charge 1762 April 20 50 Copies of his Matys Declaration with Procl.s of War sent to the sev. Counties 714 Sides 6426lb of Tobo which we imagine to have been a Mistake in M.r Ross and an Excess of 4496.1b of Tobo With what Justice then can a Claim for M.r Ross be exhited ag.t any Body for the Service done by Another? With what Justice can he charge the Record. Proprietary Settlements or the Petitions of p. 337 private Persons or Parishes to the Public? Or under what Rule in the Inspection Law with Regard to Matters of a private suppose it extendable to those of a public Concern can the Charge of 30,600.1b of Tobo for making out 204 Inspectors Comisons (properly Warrants) be justified? If the Rule of 9.16 of Tobo by the Side was to be adopted w,th Regard to the Inspectors Warrants as it has been with Respect to the other Charges in the Account those Warrants would not come to 18.16 of Tobo each. If the Sentiments of those who occupied the Stations we are now placed in at the Time the Necessity of the Governors Warrants to the Inspectors was first created could be consulted there can be little Doubt in whose Favour those Sentiments would be and this is evidenced by their excluding the Necessity of the Great Seal or Issuing a Commission and of Consequence the Charges that are now trumped up ag.t the Public besides your Honours from your Acquaintance with the History of that Part of the Inspection Law w.ch alone makes the Appointment of Inspectors by the Gov. necessary well know that very diff. Motives than an Increase of Duty or Fees to the Clk of the Council Actuated the Legislature at that Time. Under what Idea of Justice y, Honours countenanced the Cha. for Days Attendance Amount in the whole to 9600. 16 of Tobo we cannot conceive; as for any Thing that appears to us or we believe to the contrary the Days Attendance and the parlar Services done in those Days are both extended and consequently are double Cha.s From the indistinct Manner of Stating the Account it is possible we may be mistaken in this as from the same Reason we might probably be if we were to form any Guesses whe. the Service as performed by the Clk of the Council for which he has a Claim upon the Public except the One before particularized are or are not in any Respect connected with the private Concerns of L.d Balt.re

Your Honours from hence with very little Trouble to your Selves may be ascertained that making only the Deductions of those Charges and Excesses that are so evidently unjust with Respect to the Public