

Liber JJ Diligent enquiry hath bin by me made within my Bailiwick, whether any person to the said deceased related or Otherwise can shew lawfull cause why the Grant or Pattent should not be vacated by which the within mentioned land is held, and not any person found by me  
Nath: Stiles Sheriff.

The like Proclamaçon made here at this day before his Lõpps Justices then present in Court, and none appeared &c.

Upon the prayer of Francis Stockett for the escheate aswell of a parcell of land called Hermans Mount containeing three hundred and fifty acres lyeing on the northside of Sassafrax River in the County of Baltemore as also one other parcell of land called Spryes Hills containeing six hundred Acres lyeing in Sassafrax River aforesaid Att a Provincial Court held at s<sup>t</sup> Maries the fiftenth day of December last past before his Lõpps Justices there, which said Justices then Ordered That notice should be given to the respective Sheriffs of Ann Arrundell and Baltemore Countyes to enquire within their respective Bailiwicks whether any person unto John Hatton late deceased in whose possession the same lands late were, related or otherwise could shew any lawfull cause why the Grants or Pattents for the same should not be vacated, and that they make returne of their proceedings therein to his Lõpps Justices here at this day, Who make returne thereof as follow

In Ann Arrundell County, April the xv<sup>th</sup> MDCIxiix  
Nullus inventus ꝑ me Tho: Stockett Sher.

Diligent enquiry hath bin made by me within my Bailiwick whether any person to the said deceased related or Otherwise can shew lawfull cause why the grants or Pattents should not be vacated by which the within mentioned land is held, and not any person is found by mee  
Nath: Stiles Sheriff.

[p. 11] Richard Tilghman produced his accompt against Thomas Hinson and John Hynson administrators of Thomas Hynson Señ late deceased for foure thousand six hundred sixty one pounds of tobacco and the same proved upon his Oath in Open Court.

And the said Thomas and John by Daniel Jenifer their Attorney came and defended the force and injury when &c And the same Attorney then said that he was not informed by the same Thomas and John his Clients of any answer for the said Thomas and John in that case to be then given and then said nothing else thereunto whereby the said Richard then remained against the said Thomas and John thereof without defence Therefore it was then considered by the Court here that the said Richard Tilghman should recover against the said Thomas and John the debt aforesaid.