

Liber FF Craues judgment if the Assise between him and the said Gartrud ought to be taken  
Nottley

And the said John and Gartrud say that the said Assise between the said Gartrud and the said John Ought to be taken and say as aforesaid that the said John unjustly and without Judgment did des seize the said Gartrud of the said One hundred acres of land in view put and in the plaint specified as they have above in their pleas alleadged for he saith that the Right Hon<sup>ble</sup> Caecilius Lord Proprietary did not by his letters pattents Grant the said One hundred acres of land to him the said John Salter in manner and forme as the said John in his plea hath alleadged, And this the said Gartrud requires it may be enquired by the Assise and the said John Woollcott in like manner therfore let the Assise between them be taken,  
Morecroft

The said John Woollcott for further prooffe of his title to the said One hundred acres of land doth produce on the records of this Prouince a speciall warr<sup>t</sup> und<sup>r</sup> the hand of the s<sup>d</sup> Lord Proprietary wherein is granted to Francis Brook the said One hundred acres of land bearing date the fifteen day of January One thousand Six hundred fifty one therein expressing it to be heretofore forfeited to his said lordsh<sup>p</sup> by John Gresham for his the said Greshams rebellion to his said lordsh<sup>p</sup> by uertue of which speciall Grant the said Francis Brook was thereof possessed in his demesne as of fee, who afterwards conveyed the same to the aforesaid Salter as by his Lordsh<sup>p</sup>s Grant und<sup>r</sup> the great seale of this Prouince doth more fully and largely appeare

Moreouer the said Woollcott doth bring into Court a Copy of the Records from Kent wherein the said land is Confirm'd to the said John Salter as Assignee of the said Francis Brook by an Ord<sup>r</sup> of Court there made the 20<sup>th</sup> day of July One Thowsand Six hundred fifty eighte by his lordsh<sup>p</sup>s Justices of the Prouinciall Court then held att the County Court of Kent aforesaid—which said writeings being heard and read the p<sup>l</sup>t doth further reply thereunto the which he deliueis into Court as followeth

[p. 550] And the said John and Gartrud say that the letters pattents in Euidence by the said John Woollcott giuen is not sufficient in law to proue the Grant of the said R<sup>t</sup> Hon<sup>ble</sup> Caecilius &<sup>c</sup>: of the land in view put and in the plaint men<sup>o</sup>ned to him the said John salter neither that the said John Gresham was euer seized of any such Estate as he could forfeit and this they are ready to auerr And of this he Craueth the Judgment of the Court if the said Lord Proprietarys Letters Pattents by the said John Woollcott in euidence giuen be sufficient in law to proue the same  
Morecroft

Then was taken the Oath of Cap<sup>t</sup> Rob<sup>t</sup> Vaughan who was summoned by the p<sup>l</sup>t to testify in this Cause and being sworne in open Court saith