

Liber B or Admin to defend John Ringgould & James Ringgould their
 Heires Exeq or Admines from John Bennett as Wittnes my hand
 & seale thes 12th day of May 1661 Margrett Marsh ☉
 Signed Sealed and Deliuered Vara Copia Teste Me
 in the presents of us Tobye Weells Clk^s
 William Elleyeott
 John Hudson

[fol. 90] (*) Upon the presentment of thomas Bright & Elesabeth Lockett thes
 Court hath ordered that it be refered tell the next Court and to
 Supeny in thes Seut versus Ann Doobb Ann hill & Elesabeth Deere
 Catheren Gamer & Sarah Tourson to giue in their testimony
 Teste Tobye Weells Clk

Whereas Mathew Read hath Arested John Spurdance in Ann ac-
 tion of defamation and Could not proue his seut the defendant hath
 Craued a nonseut which the Court doth Grant and doth order that
 Mathew Read shall pay Cost of seut elce execution
 Teste Tobye Weells Clk^s

Whearas Cap^t Rob: Vaughan hath made apere by the acknowl-
 edgment of Mathew Read the Adminesterater to the Estate of
 Thomas Read latte of the Iland of Kent deseced that the sd Thomas
 Read did owe unto Cap^t Rob^t vaughan the some of two hundred ninty
 seuen pounds of tobaco & Cask thes Court doth order present payment
 Elce Execution Teste Tobye Weells Clk

M^r Joseph Weekes records the marke of his Cattell and Hoggs for
 thre years last past and for the feuter are Crop^t in both years and on
 slett in the Crope of the right yeare and two sletts in the Crope in
 the left yeare Teste me Tobye Weells Clk^s

Know all men that I Elesabeth Stope doe frely giue unto William
 Granger the sonne of William Granger of the Ile of Kent on blacke
 Cow Calfe Crop^t on the lefte yeare with a hole in it and underkeald on
 the right yeare with the two hinder feette white and a white tepe on the
 tayle
 Recorded thes 20th day of november 1661
 Teste Tobye Weells Clk^s

Whearas Some differenc happened Between Roger Baxter and John
 Smith about a hogge which wase found deed in Coxe his Creeke and

* Folios 90 and 91 have paper different from that of neighboring folios and
 like that of folios 103-109. Part of the entries have dates contemporary with those
 on the later folios. However, the court minutes seem to belong to the March
 session. Possibly the clerk left blank spaces in March and filled them with entries
 several months later. An earmark entry on folio 91 is made three years later
 than other entries.