

Liber C D

discharged by James Pattison the assignee and present possessor of the other fifty acres of Land and the Jury afores.<sup>d</sup> do upon their Oaths further Say That the Said Robert Sheale dyed without any issue of his Body Lawfully begotten and left no heir at Law to him the Said Robert to Inherit in the Land afores.<sup>d</sup> nor made any Disposition thereof to any pson whatsoever in his life time to the knowledge of the Jurors af.<sup>d</sup> But by what Right or Title the s.<sup>d</sup> Bridgett durezza her widowhood or the Said Gregory & Bridgett Since their Inter-marriage held the Same or the s<sup>d</sup> Gregory now holds the Same the Jurors aforesaid are wholly Ignorant

John Manley }  
Robert Carvile } Commission<sup>rs</sup>

Stephen Murty . . . . .sealed	John Noble . . . . .sealed
Stephen Gough . . . . .sealed	John Bayly . . . . .sealed
Abram Taylor . . . . .sealed	Rich. <sup>d</sup> Birkhead . . . . .sealed
John Brown . . . . .	Tho Nottingham . . . . .sealed
W. <sup>m</sup> Shercliffe . . . . .sealed	Tho Salmon . . . . .sealed
Ja. <sup>s</sup> Pattison . . . . .sealed	Dan. <sup>ll</sup> Hammond . . . . .sealed

Which being Read and heard It is the Judgm.<sup>t</sup> of the Court here this day to wit the 23.<sup>th</sup> day of November in the 5.<sup>th</sup> year of the Dominion of the R.<sup>t</sup> Hon.<sup>ble</sup> Charles Lord Baltemore &c Annoq<sup>3</sup> Dom 1680 that the fifty acres of Land in the aforegoing Inquisition men<sup>c</sup>oned where the Said Robert Sheale dyed Seized is Escheated unto his Said Lordship for want of an heir

p. 225  
(fol. 273)

To the Hon.<sup>ble</sup> Justices of the Provinciall Court

(fol. 274)

The humble Petition of Richard Hill Gent Sheweth

That one Thomas Bland in may 1679 did Exhibit his Bill of Comp.<sup>lt</sup> into this Court ag.<sup>t</sup> Edward Dorsey & his wife & other defend.<sup>ts</sup> and amongst others that he named defend.<sup>ts</sup> yo.<sup>r</sup> Pet.<sup>r</sup> was one that was Served with a Sp.<sup>a</sup> Returnable 4<sup>th</sup> may to Answer the Said Bill to w.<sup>ch</sup> your pet.<sup>r</sup> appeared & haveing appeared and perused the Said Comp.<sup>lts</sup> Bill doth find the Same to be meerly Vexatious & to put your pet.<sup>r</sup> to unnecessary Expences & Charges in takeing out Copys of Long pleadings and to putting him to great pain & trouble in travelling to the Court about the Same yo.<sup>r</sup> pet.<sup>r</sup> being no ways concerned or any ways privy to any y.<sup>e</sup> transactions Between the Said p.<sup>lt</sup> and defend.<sup>ts</sup> Dorsey and his wife who are y.<sup>e</sup> onely defend.<sup>ts</sup> haveing denyed all Combination Charged against him by the p.<sup>lts</sup> with any the other def.<sup>ts</sup> and the p.<sup>lts</sup> have So far pceeded as they are Ready for a hearing without this defend.<sup>ts</sup> answer So that it is plain that yo.<sup>r</sup> petition.<sup>r</sup> is no materiall defend.<sup>ts</sup> to the Said Bill