

your Members and since I cannot be supposed to have at that Time an Oppertunity of being present and hearing what they then Declared to the House it is not to be imagined but that I might endeavour to be informed of what they said by way of Evidence without any (ever supposed) Breach of Priviledge and upon the best Account I Can get I find two Members declared themselves on the Subject: One of them remembered I should say that M<sup>r</sup> Smith was an ungreatful sorry Fellow or a sorry ungreatful Fellow the Other declared I said he was a sorry Fellow: As to the last Member I must in my Own Vindication observe that he is so linked with M<sup>r</sup> Smith in more then one respect that without a Supposition of a Wilful misrepresentation he might have easily led into an Opinion of such words being spoken as M<sup>r</sup> Smith might thro Warmth of Temper (of which if I am not mistaken he is very susceptible) believe I really spoke. But the first member couples the word sorry with the word ungreatful, and that is more likely of the Two for I am certain I never called him sorry Fellow only as expressed in the Remonstrance I confess I did Call him ungreatful Fellow for which I gave you my Reasons in my Answer and in my Opinion that Vice contains not only the Idea of a sorry Fellow but more however I cannot help taking notice that tho the Appellation of ungreatful was used by me yet M<sup>r</sup> Smith did not think proper to make that Expression part of his Complaint for what Reasons he knows Best

L. H. J.  
Liber No. 46

Thus far I have Considered what I am told was Declared in favour of M<sup>r</sup> Smith's Varacity in your House but pray Gentlemen did you Examine the other Members who were said to be present at the same Time If you did I doubt not but you found from them that they did not hear me say the words which I have denyed and they have declared so to me nor did M<sup>r</sup> Smith mention any such words to the first Company he went into after the Affair hapened when his Heart was full of it and his memory least likely to deceive him And then why may not I without much Presumption lay claim to (at least) as Good Credit as M<sup>r</sup> Smith I am not a Stranger to his Violence against Governors I suppose from mistaken Principles But what I pray Could Influence me to deny any Words much less sorry Fellow on that Occation when I acknowledge much stronger and which yet with any other words M<sup>r</sup> Smith Can fancy I did then say can have no relation to your Privileges which are in the second place to be considered

And now Gentlemen I must observe that tho this Conversation with M<sup>r</sup> Smith gave rise to your Complaint of a Violation of your Privilege by me which was at first my own Case yet by your Remonstrance you Sufficiently shewed what you aimed at and by the Paper lately presented to me have put the matter which I Charged you with in my Answer in Relation to your Electors Beyond all Possibility of doubt so that the Dispute will Presently appear to be