At the same time that We stiled this Blending or tacking two U. H. J. different matters in the same Bill an Unparliamentary Unjust and Violent Proceedings We gave you our Reasons for it and could have supported them by the concurrent Opinion of some of the greatest Men in Parliament, but you save us this trouble by a tacit Admission, for you only deny it is tacking of if it is. Yet it is not tacking in an Unparliamentary way, how You can prove it is not tacking We are still at a Loss to know for you have not nor can shew one Reason to support Your Negative, And We must do you the Justice to believe You did not mean We should understand you to be so much in Earnest in the Denial as to abide by it since You took care to couple it with a Reserve viz It is not a Tacking in an Unparliamentary manner; and You endeavour to Justify your proceedings to be Parliamentary by Precedents of Our own Legislature. In the first Place You do not name the Precedents, so We can give no Answer to them

2^{dly} It is strange you should in the first part of your Message expect a strict Conformity to Parliamentary proceedings with Regard to Our Interposition in a Money Bill, and yet in this Part knowing the Usage of Parliament to be against You. You have recourse to Proceedings of our own Assembly, and slights those of Parliament, But Gentlemen neither Ourselves or our Province are too Old or knowing not to be taught by the wise Legislature of Great Britain, and notwithstanding there were many Precedents in Our Assemblies (tho at present We cannot recollect any to Your Purpose.) Yet if what We insist on is at seems by your Message confessed right & supported not only by Parliamentary Proceedings. but by the Reasons in Our last Message which you have not disproved We shall think Our Behaviour in this matter will satisfie Although you not agree in Express terms to so plain & almost self evident a Proposition, as that such who have offered to clog any Bill with a Tack were always thought Enemies ill Wishers to such Bill, yet not only Your own Reasons herein before taken notice of, but more especially those which follow the strongest Proof of that Observation with Regard to that Conduct on this Bill. You alledge the Necessity you were reduced to by the Refusal of this House heretofore to pass that Bill if this House had before refused to pass that Bill how could Your strong and frequent professions of Leige Subjects Loyalty Zeal and Duty to his Majestys Service, permit you to Clog this Bill with any matter which you must be sure would be an impediment to its Passage

And now Gentlemen permit Us in Our turn to say that We little p. 67 expected You would have Given under Your hands such plain Hints as to let us into Your Scheme of making this Tack for You assure Us that when the Affair of Louisburgh was first recommended to your Consideration you had not this Matter within your View, if so,