U. H. J. of £187..13..6 on Robert Ungle Treasurer of the Eastern Shore for which the said Ungle is allowed by the Committee that past his Account in the year 1720 but as your Committee Conceives without the said Ungles producing any proper Voucher for the same, for that we find that in the year 1729 the said Richard Bennett Esq^{r} Appeared before the then Governor and Council and produced the above Order without any discharge appearing thereon and deposed that he had not received the above Sum of Money nor any part of it nor any thing in Satisfaction thereof, upon which it appears that another order was drawn on Col^{o} Samuel Young for the af^{d} Sum of £187..13..6 Sterl by which we conceive the Publick has been charged twice for one and the same Sum tho it appears by the Oath of the aforesaid Richard Bennet not to have been paid him by M^{r} Ungle

Your Committee also finds that in an Account of M^{r} Samuel Hyde marked F hereunto annexed three Several Articles amounting to the Sum of £529..6..10 Appears to have been paid to his Excellency Samuel Ogle Esq^{r} but as one of the said three Articles being £238..15..10 is not taken notice of in any of M^{r} Hydes Accounts hereto annexed Your Committee beg leave to lay before this House the nature of that Article with the Reason of its not being mentioned in these Accounts of M^{r} Hydes And for that Purpose We must observe that the said Sum of £238..15..10 was raised by Virtue of An Act of Assembly made Anno 1732 and was not by the said Act particularly appropriated But it was afterwards by another Act made in 1734 Appropriated to the Fund for Arms &c^{a} We find that the Sum of £238..15..10 Immediately after Receipt thereof and before The last mentioned Act was made was Remitted by the Hands of Daniel Dulany Esq^{r} to M^{r} Samuel Hyde as appears by the Accounts number A hereto annexed and we presume before M^{r} Hyde had made or Transmitted any Account in which such Article might be expected to have been Charged Col^{o} Young by an order of Council drew an order on M^{r} Hyde for the said Sum of £238..15..10 payable to his Excellency Samuel Ogle Esq^{r} and which said Sum together with the other two Articles amounting in the whole to the Sum of £529..6..10 Appears to be unaccounted for by M^{r} Hyde in the aforesaid Account Mark'd F by which Account it appears that there is laid out in Powder and Arms £371..8..2 and that there remains a Ballance in M^{r} Hydes Hands on the said Account £157..18..8 and altho it would have been perhaps Clearer if M^{r} Hyde had Stated such Article in his General Account of the 3^{d} for Arms that your Committee humbly Conceive that the Justice with Regard to the Publick is the same for if M^{r} Hyde had Credited the Publick with that Sum

p. 42 he must have Charged the Publick with the Arms purchased for & sent to this Province out of that Sum, which he has not done but kept a Seperate Account of the same