

Aprill 30<sup>th</sup> 1658

Liber  
P. C. R.  
1658,  
April 30

All P<sup>nt</sup> as yesterday, & M<sup>r</sup> Nath: Vtye.

This day Came James Jolley & acknowledgeth a Judgm<sup>t</sup> in open Court To Tho: Jordan of London Merch<sup>t</sup>, his heyres adm<sup>strato</sup><sup>es</sup> or Assignes for One Thowsand & Thirty pownds of Tob in cask. Jordan v. Jolly

The same day allso came the fores<sup>d</sup> James Jolley, & acknowledgeth a Judgment in open Court to Richard Hix, his heyres adm<sup>strato</sup><sup>es</sup> or Assignes for fiue hundred pownds of Tob. in cask. Hix v. Jolly

Allso the s<sup>d</sup> James Jolley acknowledgeth a Judgm<sup>t</sup> in open Court to Walter Senserf, his heyres, adm<sup>strato</sup><sup>es</sup> or Assignes for One Thowsand Three hund<sup>d</sup> Thirty Three pownds Tob. in cask w<sup>th</sup>out grownd leaues upon a Bill dat. 29 Apr. 1658 Senserf v. Jolly

Uppon the Pet<sup>n</sup> of Walter Hall, agst Henry Payton, Concerning a Boate w<sup>ch</sup> Henry ffox lent to the s<sup>d</sup> Payton, & w<sup>ch</sup> the s<sup>d</sup> Walter Hall hath right unto, by marrying the Relict of the s<sup>d</sup> Henry ffox &c: It being proued th<sup>t</sup> there hath bene an Attachm<sup>t</sup> graunted & serued uppon a Debt of the s<sup>d</sup> Paytons in Leiuten<sup>t</sup> James Lindseys hand thereby to bring the s<sup>d</sup> Henry Payton to answeare, & he neuer appearing. And uppon the Oath of Walter Pakes who affirmeth in open Court That he was buying the s<sup>d</sup> Boate of Henry ffox about a month or thereabouts before th<sup>t</sup> Boate was lent to the s<sup>d</sup> Payton, & profered 500<sup>l</sup> Tob, for the s<sup>d</sup> Boate & Sayle, & the s<sup>d</sup> ffoxe profered the s<sup>d</sup> Boate for 600<sup>l</sup> Tob to the Depon<sup>t</sup> It is Ordered th<sup>t</sup> the Pet<sup>r</sup> haue a new Attatchm<sup>t</sup> for Twelue hund<sup>d</sup> pownds Tob. & In case the s<sup>d</sup> Payton appeare not eyther by himselfe or Attorney att the next Prouinciall Court, Then the Pet<sup>r</sup> to haue Judgm<sup>t</sup> for six hund<sup>d</sup> pownds Tob, w<sup>th</sup> costs & dammages. Hall v. Peyton  
p. 44

Uppon the Pet<sup>n</sup> of Elizabeth Robins, clayming a Reference till next Court, Rob<sup>t</sup> Robins husband to the s<sup>d</sup> Elizabeth desyres th<sup>t</sup> a Reference be not graunted: But th<sup>t</sup> the business w<sup>ch</sup> hath bene formerly here in dispute, may come to a finall tryall & issue att this p<sup>ent</sup> Court. But the Pet<sup>r</sup> alleaging th<sup>t</sup> shee hath not her wittnesses ready, & the persons lyuing about Wicocomoco Ryuer. It is Ordered That this cause bee referred to the next County Court, to be held in Charles County, & both parties to expect their tryall, there, & to bring their wittnesses thither. Robins v. Robins

James Lindsey demands Lr<sup>es</sup> of Adm<sup>istra</sup>on uppon the Estate of Symon Antonio as Cred<sup>e</sup> unto it in the summe of 2162<sup>l</sup> Tob. due by Bill & accompt Re Antonio's Estate

Ordered th<sup>t</sup> he haue Lr<sup>es</sup> of Adm<sup>istra</sup>on, as being greatest Cred<sup>e</sup>