

Liber P. C. R. S<sup>t</sup> Clements Baye in the aforesaid Province gent where she this depon<sup>t</sup> did at her first comeing into the Province often lye with the aboues<sup>d</sup> Elizabeth, but did never knowe nor as much as suspected that the abouesaid Elizabeth was ever with Child nor did never at any time from their first being acquaynted to this p<sup>e</sup>sent houre, heare her the said Elizabeth, or any body else talke of the abouesaid Elizabeths either being with child, or that she was deliuered of a child And further this depon<sup>t</sup> doth declare that she never heard any person  
P. 434 or . . . . say that she the abouesaid Elizabeth should at . . . . other person or persons . . . . (or in any other place) either aliue or dead And this depon<sup>t</sup> doth further declare that she doth not remember that the abouesaid Elizabeth was at any tyme sick from the day of their first meeting together at James Langworths vntill the Second Summer after their comeing into the Country and further sayth not

The marke of } Margaret Mashguy

Jurat coram me James Langworth

The Jury doe p<sup>e</sup>sent for the Lord Proprietary that Elizabeth Harris late of S<sup>t</sup> Clements hundred in the County of S<sup>t</sup> Marys Spinister in the Easter holly dayes in the yeare of our Lord 1657 at S<sup>t</sup> Wynifrids in the County and hundred aforesaid then and there a certaine man child aliue did bring forth and afterward the said Elizabeth at S<sup>t</sup> Wynifrids aforesaid the aforesaid Infant liueing did throwe out of doores hard by the landing by which the said Infant imediately did dye and soe the said Elizabeth Harris the said Infant at the place and tyme aforesaid feloniously did kill and murder  
26 Feb. Contrary to the peace of his Lōp, his rule and dominion Being asked whether she was Guilty or not guilty she answered not guilty and being demanded by whome she would be tryed answered by God and the Country

whereupon was impannelld a Jury as followeth (vizt)

M <sup>r</sup> William Bretton Foreman,	M <sup>r</sup> Richard Willan
M <sup>r</sup> Thomas Mathews	M <sup>r</sup> Robert Macklyn
M <sup>r</sup> William Hughes	M <sup>r</sup> Thomas Burdett
M <sup>r</sup> Richard Forster	M <sup>r</sup> Patrick Forrest
M <sup>r</sup> Thomas Turner	M <sup>r</sup> Deliuerance Lovely
M <sup>r</sup> Thomas Stone	M <sup>r</sup> William Greene.

And the Jury retourned their Verdict not Guilty

Attorney  
General v.  
Pauldin

#### The Indictment of Jane Pauledin

Let it be enquired for the Lord Proprietary whether Jane Pauledin now the wife of John Titmarsh of S<sup>t</sup> Leonards in Caluert County vpon the foure and twentyth day of december 1659 at the howse of Thomas Belcher vpon the Resurreccōn Mannor in the County aforesaid one Holland Apron seaven yards of white small bone Lace one