

Liber Hee humbly therefore craues the opinion & Judgm<sup>t</sup> of this Board,  
P. C. R. what hee shall be allowed for his Attendance, molestaõn, & nonsuite.

Ordered th<sup>t</sup> the s<sup>d</sup> Tho: Gerard be allowed for his s<sup>d</sup> attendance  
& nonsuite ffive hund<sup>d</sup> pownds of Tob.

Utye v. Robert Clearke Esq<sup>r</sup> his L<sup>ps</sup> Surveyo<sup>r</sup> Graill (att the request of  
Thomas Co<sup>h</sup> Nathaniel Vtye) Sayth uppõn Oath in Court, That according  
to the s<sup>d</sup> Co<sup>h</sup> Vtyes Order & according to a war<sup>t</sup> that he had to  
suruey Land to Philip Thomas. Hee did suruey the same, adioyning  
to his Land where hee now liues. And the next day, when hee  
came to finish his suruey, & went to the place to doe it: The s<sup>d</sup> Philip  
Thomas sayd hee would not haue the Land. And soe discharged this  
Depon<sup>t</sup>.

Cap<sup>t</sup> Whereas the pffs tooke out writt of Attatchm<sup>t</sup> agst the Estate of  
Sampson Cap<sup>t</sup> Will<sup>m</sup> Mitchell deceased 25<sup>o</sup> of Aprill last, Ret att the Prouinciall  
Waring and Court following, the ffowrth day of Octob<sup>r</sup>, for 2000<sup>t</sup> Tob,  
James W<sup>ch</sup> Attachment was att th<sup>t</sup> Court held 7<sup>o</sup> Octob<sup>r</sup> continued, &  
Veitch v. Cap<sup>t</sup> respited till this Prouinciall Court. And the Adm<sup>n</sup>istrato<sup>r</sup> to the s<sup>d</sup>  
Mitchells Estate Mitchell's Estate, not appearing eyther att the last Prouinciall Court,  
Vid. fol. 264 or att this Court, & being in due Order called  
& fol. 320

Judgm<sup>t</sup> is graunted unto the pffs agst the dēfts Estate for Two  
Thowsand pownds of Tob, according to the s<sup>d</sup> writt of Attatchm<sup>t</sup>.

Gerard v. Vppõn the motion of Thomas Gerard Esq<sup>r</sup> to the Gouverno<sup>r</sup> &  
Willan & Councell Shewing how that hee formerly commenced suite agst M<sup>r</sup>  
Lindsey Richard Willan & M<sup>r</sup> James Lindsey concerning his tytle to Snow  
Vid. fol. 204 Hill. And att the Court houlden att S<sup>t</sup> Maries 2<sup>d</sup> of March 1658  
& fol. 222 Philip Caluert Esq<sup>r</sup> concerned in th<sup>t</sup> cause appealed to his L<sup>p</sup> in  
& fol. 123 England. And his L<sup>ps</sup> Determinaõn of the Cause betweene him, &  
the fores<sup>d</sup> p<sup>r</sup>ties being sent in from his s<sup>d</sup> L<sup>p</sup> hee humbly Craueth the  
Judgm<sup>t</sup> of the Gouverno<sup>r</sup> & Councell to declare whither th<sup>t</sup> Determi-  
naõn of the Cause as afores<sup>d</sup>, shall bee entred uppõn Record, as a  
ffinall Determinaõn of the Cause Yea or noe?

p. 371 And uppõn some quære Whither an Appeale was admitted or  
graunted for the Determinaõn of the whole Busines, or only for his  
L<sup>p</sup> to declare where his L<sup>ps</sup> manno<sup>r</sup> of Snow Hill is. The Clarks  
fowle noates being called for, & read; Where, these words following  
are not inserted in th<sup>t</sup> former Order, nor sent to his L<sup>p</sup> in England  
(Viz)

“The pff makes appeare to the Court That his Wife is the next  
heyre th<sup>t</sup> appeareth in this Prouince, being Sister to him that tooke  
up the Land now in dispute. And the pff is admitted by the Board to  
be heyre att Law in Right of his Wife till a Brother (there being Two  
yett supposed to bee lyuing) makes claime of the same.”