To the honbie the Gouernor & Councell &c:

The humble Petⁿ of Will^m Battin humbly Sheweth. That Richard Battin v. Smith was imployed by yor Petr in the yeare 1659 to procure seruants in England to be transported into this prouince for vor Petrs use. & was allowed Sallary for the same, as it may appeare by seuerall sumes of moneys received during his abode both in this Province & England. But having shipped the servants aboard Mr Haskevnes tooke their Indentures in his owne name (notwthstanding yor Petr undertooke for the transport of himselfe & seruants soe shipped) & hath contrary to the ffayth reposed in him, disposed of the s^d Seruants. And yor Petr then being not in Bristoll, att the discharge of the ship, could not perfect his Accts wth the sd Master. meanes the sd Master attatcht the Tob, wch was given for the sd Seruants. Whereuppon yor Petr is much damnifyed in the Contract betweene the sd Master & himselfe, Wch was To pay for the p. 362 transport of a seruant att Bristoll 5^t, 10^s, or att the returne of the Ship 7^t, 10^s, or in this Prouince 800^t of Tob. & Cask. Contract & agreem^t was made uovd, by the s^d Smith in the indirect sale of the s^d Seruants as by the deposⁿ of the s^d M^r Haskeynes may appeare. further the sd Smith is indebted to yor Petr 19t, 18s, 6d, 800^t Tob & Cask & 13 armes length of Roanoke as p^r Acc^t may more att large appeare.

May it therefore please this honbie Court to Order That the sd Smith make satisfaction for the dammages soe by yor Petr susteyned in the sale & indirect disposure of his seruants, & pay the aboues^d Acct, wth Costs & Charges of suite & hee shall pray &c:

This Cause being sent up from the County Court in Charles County to this Prouinciall Court. The deft, by his Attorney Mr James Langworth, denyeth whatt is alleaged in the Petⁿ & willeth the plf to make proofe of the same. And uppon reasoning the Case on both sides The Board putt the same to a Jury. Who returne their Verdict. Noe Cause of suite.

To the honble the Gouernor & Councell &c:

The humble [petition] of Sampson Waring Sheweth That whereas Waring v. John Waghop hath uery much trespassed yor Petr by unjustly paying away & disposing of a hogshead of Tob, belonging to yor Petr to the ualew of 386t as hee can justly make appeare. Yor Petr humbly Craueth satisfaction from the sd Waghop wth damages & Costs of suite, & he shall pray &c:

Vppon the demand & Petⁿ of the plf the deft sayth, That that hogshead of Tob was rotten, or damnifyed, & lay to & agen about the Tobacco howse; neyther tooke hee any care of, or concerning it, Imagining that the plf did soe likewise.