

Liber  
P. C. R.  
Attorney  
General v.  
Bagby

Was called afore the Board John Bagby & Charged for th<sup>t</sup> hee the s<sup>d</sup> Bagby being commanded by lawfull authority to make search for Thomas Thurston, as Cunstable, he refused soe to doe, as commanded, saying also That, if hee were Cunstable, hee would doe noe such thing.

p. 305 The s<sup>d</sup> John Bagby sayth that hee supposed himselfe free from the Office of Cunstable . . . . hauing supplied th<sup>t</sup> Office one whole yeare, & th<sup>t</sup> the Commis<sup>rs</sup> . . . . Court had nominated Ismàèl Wright Cunstable to succeed him in that office, though the s<sup>d</sup> Ismàèl Wright was not sworne, or had taken the Oath of a Cunstable, And th<sup>t</sup> had hee understood himselfe still in th<sup>t</sup> office, he should euer, & will obey all lawfull authority whatsoever.

Vppon w<sup>ch</sup> the s<sup>d</sup> John Bagby is dismissed.

Overzee v.  
Williams

To the hon<sup>bte</sup> the Gour<sup>r</sup> & Councill &c:

The humble Pet<sup>n</sup> of Symon Ouerzee Sheweth, Whereas John Williams stands indebted to yo<sup>r</sup> Pet<sup>r</sup> the summe of Three thowsand one hund<sup>d</sup> & Twenty pownds of Tob & Cask as by his account appears, W<sup>ch</sup> Tob the s<sup>d</sup> Williams refuseth to pay.

The premises considered yo<sup>r</sup> Pet<sup>r</sup> humbly Craues for p<sup>nt</sup> paym<sup>t</sup> of the s<sup>d</sup> summe of Tob w<sup>th</sup> dammages & Costs of suite & he shall pray &c:

The dēft sayth that hee oweth the p<sup>ff</sup> Two Thowsand six hund<sup>d</sup> & Twenty pownds of Tob w<sup>th</sup> Cask, Three barrells & ffowre bushells of Corne, ffor all w<sup>ch</sup> hee acknowledgeth Judgm<sup>t</sup> to the p<sup>ff</sup> in open Court.

As to the dēfts Bill of 500<sup>t</sup> Tob passed to the p<sup>ff</sup> for Richard Abrahall, w<sup>ch</sup> the p<sup>ff</sup> cannot find att present, It is Ordered th<sup>t</sup> the p<sup>ff</sup> bee left to recouer the same, wheneuer hee shall produce the s<sup>d</sup> Bill.

Chew v.  
Peake

These p<sup>nts</sup> wittnes th<sup>t</sup> I George Peake of the Clifts in Caluert County Gent<sup>n</sup> doe constitute & ordaine my louing ffreind Cap<sup>t</sup> Sampson Waring my lawfull Attorney to defend a suite in Law commenced agst mee the s<sup>d</sup> Peake by Samuel Chew, And in Case th<sup>t</sup> hee the s<sup>d</sup> Waring shall see cause, I doe hereby impowre my s<sup>d</sup> Attorney to constitute another Attorney or Attorneys under him, And what hee or they shall doe in the premises, I doe hereby ratify & confirme, as wittnes my hand this 3<sup>d</sup> of Octob<sup>r</sup> 1659 George Peake.

In p<sup>nce</sup> of Thomas Elwes George M Blacketor his marke.

To the hon<sup>bte</sup> the Gouverno<sup>r</sup> & Councill &c:

The humble Pet<sup>n</sup> of Samuel Chew Sheweth That whereas John Par, the Orphane of Rob<sup>t</sup> Par deceased, hath bene kept & wronged by George Peake, soe th<sup>t</sup> the Child hath runne away seuerall times, & is lately come . . . . Pet<sup>rs</sup> howse for Releife.