Liber the Bill, And as for the Remainder being fiue hundd Ninety one pownd, the sd Wallter Hall in behalfe of himselfe, & John Jarbo acknowledgeth Judgmt for the same in open Court.

Gerard v. Evans To the honbie the Leiutent & Councell of Maryland &c:

The humble Petn of Thomas Gerard Sheweth That yor Petr had an Exequuon serued uppon his Estate by the appoyntmt of Capt Will^m Euans, who hath charged vor Petr in his writt of Exeqn fiue hundd fowrty Two pownds of Tob more then is due to him, & more then yor Petr ought to pay, for the Costs of tht suite.

Hee humbly therefore craueth tht by Order of this honbie Court p. 249 the sd Capt Euans repay unto yor Petr, the sd summe soe uniustly executed; much to vor Petrs dishonor, wth dammage, And &c:

The deft savth That according to the former order of Court & Verdict of the Jury, hee had Costs of suite gyuen & allowed him, And this Costs, whereon the plf demurreth, was for Sheriffs ffees, & Clerks ffees, expended att Patuxt Court, (before the late surrender of the Gouermt) & to them payd, as he can make appeare, & further tht he hath not received yett one pownd of Tob Executed, from the Sheriffe. And the plf affirming tht he profered the deft paymt of his whole demand, saue only 442^t Tob, And Euidencing to the Court, tht the Sheriffe hath Executed 1362t Tob. for dammages only.

It is Ordered tht fiue hundd & Twelue pownds of Tob, (it being Costs expended in th' former Patuxt Court) be deducted out of the foresd sume & repayed back unto the plf, by the deft, wth Costs of suite. Mr Secr Judgmt is, tht the Sheriffe ought to be resposable for the Tob deducted, & not the plf, Mr Clearke idem Reliqui res ut Suprà.

Re Chandler

Capt Sampson Waring brought in Mr Chandlers Bill for his fine for 15000t Tob dat 27th day of Aprill 1655 Weh is Cancelled in Court.

Attorney General v. Thurston Coun. 353

Mr Attorney on the behalfe of the Ld Propr complayneth agst Thomas Thurstone, & requesteth that hee be brought afore the Board 3 Md. Arch. to answere for himselfe, ffor th^t in contempt of his L^{ps} Lawes he refused formerly to subscribe take the Ingagem^t (according to Act of Assembly prouided) for wch hee hath bene allready banished. Yett notwithstanding hee hath presumed againe to come into this prouince, & refuseth still to comply & fullfill the Law, & take oath of ffidelity to his L^p as is in th^t Case prouided, uppon his returne into the prouince againe. Whereuppon the Prisoner being demanded what he hath to say in that he hath broken the Law? & not giuing notice of his arryuall &c. To weh He sayth, he ought not, & cannot sweare, & that being pressed wth an Oath, sayth, thereby he is denyed his liberty, & the liberty of a Subject.