

parcell of hoggs, to the quantity of thirtie or more, & the s<sup>d</sup> hoggs being wanting about five weeks the s<sup>d</sup> Depon<sup>t</sup> would haue done his endeauo<sup>r</sup> to haue fownd them & haue brought them home, But John Chearman who was the Ouerseer of the seruants & Crop, would not lett him, But sayd The hoggs would come home of themselues, & further sayth not.

Will<sup>m</sup> Samford deposeth the same.

Vppon these Crosse accōns of John Chearman & Will<sup>m</sup> Boreman Concerning a Crop of Tob & c: att Nangemy, w<sup>ch</sup> Boreman sayth was spoyled through his the s<sup>d</sup> Chearmans neglect & carelesnes, w<sup>ch</sup> the s<sup>d</sup> Chearman denyeth, producing witnesses who affirme the contrary, affirming th<sup>t</sup> the Crop, if spoyled, was soe occasioned rather through his neglect, & breach of promise or couent These accōns are putt to a Jury.

Warr<sup>t</sup> to the Sheriffe for Jury. Ret forthw<sup>th</sup>

Sheriffe returneth his writt, & warned  
fforeman

p. 113

M <sup>r</sup> James Langworth	Patrick fforrest	Hugh Stanley
Cap <sup>t</sup> Sampson Waring	Henry Keyne	James Veitch
Thomas Turner	Philip Land	Richard Collett
M <sup>r</sup> Henry Adams	John Holfhead	Michael Baysey.

Who Returne their Verdict in writing (Viz)

The Jury being agreed, & hauing long time debated the allegaōns on both sides doe returne their Verdict Viz.

Exequ<sup>n</sup> issued for the fees & Costs in this Accōn vid. fol. 332

Wee find to Chearman p<sup>tf</sup> Twelue hundred pownds Tob. dammage w<sup>th</sup> cost of suite.

And th<sup>t</sup> Boreman p<sup>tf</sup> had noe iust cause of accōn, Therfor a non suite in th<sup>t</sup> accōn, w<sup>th</sup> Cost.

The Court commanded the Verdict to be entred for the Judgm<sup>t</sup>

Vppon the demand of the p<sup>tf</sup>, for 338<sup>t</sup> Tob & Cask, The dēft being call'd & not appearing, eyther by himselfe or Attorney, Judgm<sup>t</sup> is graunted on the behalfe of the p<sup>tf</sup>, agst the dēft for Three hundred thirty & Eight pownds of Tob & Cask, w<sup>th</sup> costs of suite.

Jenkins v. Mace

Vppon this demand, It is ordered th<sup>t</sup> the Attatchm<sup>t</sup> be continued, & determined att the next Prouinciall Court.

Adams v. Parnell

Nonsuite is graunted to George Goodrick, w<sup>th</sup> Costs, agst Samuel Parker

Goodrick v. Parker

Vppon the demand of the p<sup>tf</sup> for Three hund<sup>d</sup> pownds of Tob. & Cask, This Cause is sent downe to the County Court in S<sup>t</sup> Maries County, To bee there heard & determined.

Jarbo v. Mattock