

finishing of his Cropp th^t he had planted th^t yeare vpon the Plantacōn Kent Co.
 afores^d w^{ch} yo^r Pet^r did willingly agree vnto: But soe it was th^t the Ct. Recs.
 s^d Iline at Oct. Court in the yeare afores^d Commenced a suite of Law
 Against the afores^d Brookes for his vnjust Molestacōn of the s^d Iline
 upon the s^d Plantac. p^rtending A Right & Title therevnto w^{ch} the s^d
 Brookes through his obstinance or willfullnesse, or dislike to the
 then p^rsent Govern^t in hopes & Expectacōn of A suddaine alteracōn
 thereof, or for what other Causes or reasons I Know not. But the s^d
 Brookes would not make any defence, or Pleas against the s^d Iline
 by w^{ch} meanes the s^d Iline obtained an order from the s^d Court, to
 recover his damages of any th^t had molested him in his p^rtended
 title to the s^d Land w^{ch} this Pet^r beinge p^rsent at the said Court ex-
 hibited a Bill of Sale th^t he had of the s^d Brooks for the afores^d
 Land, w^{ch} the said Brookes did not disowne But did ffreely Acknow-
 ledge the same, And did declare That he did not thereby vnjustly
 molest the s^d Iline & further the s^d Iline Prosecuted this Pet^r in the
 Action or suite afores^d at the next Court holden for Kent where yo^r
 Pet^r was Cast in the s^d Action By w^{ch} yo^r Pet^r was Disposest of his p. 109
 Plant, & ordred to pay the Charge of the afores^d suite, w^{ch} did
 Amount vnto 747^t Tob. & Caske, And notwthstandinge all the afores^d
 p^rceedings, yo^r Pet^r is able to prove, th^t the s^d Iline had noe other Just
 Title vnto the afores^d Land, But mayd vse thereof only by p^rmission
 of the s^d Brookes & Rent payd by the said Iline vnto the s^d Brookes
 for the s^d Land, for one yeare According to Agreem^t By w^{ch} p^rceed-
 ings It appeares th^t the s^d Iline by his p^rtended Title & his Illegall
 p^rceedings, hath most vnjustly molested & disposest yo^r Pet^r of his
 Just Right & Intrest to the s^d Land, And that likewise M^r Tho:
 South who hath bought the p^rtended title of the s^d Iline or any other
 th^t are now Posest, of the s^d Land ware not unacquainted with the
 damage & great sufferings of yo^r Pet^r & his Just title vnto the said
 land, doe not onely Keepe Posescōn thereof and have mayd vse of
 the same for their prof^{tt} & Advantage from the 25th of Aprill 1655,
 wth out any Consideracōn or Composicōn, mayd wth yo^r Pet^r But
 have on the Contrary vsed all wayes & menes, most Illegally and
 vniustly to Circumvent & Defeate yo^r Pet^r forever of his just clame &
 Title therevnto, And as yo^r Pet^r doth humbly Conceive, may be found
 Contrary & repugnant to Law in such Cases p^rvided, for the now
 Possesers of the sd Land, Knowing It to bee sutfiently Proved th^t p. 110
 the afores^d p^rtended Title of the s^d Iline to bee of noe Vallue; have
 Applied th^mselves And p^rswaded the said Brookes to purchase from
 him if possible they might some better Title. Although they knew &
 ware Acquainted wth the afores^d Bargaine & Sale th^t the said Brookes
 mayd of the s^d Land, vnto yo^r Pet^r yet have they p^rvailed wth the s^d
 Brookes to make a second Sale thereof vnto them, as will Appeare by
 A deed of sale th^t hath been privately Entred vpon the Record of