U. H. J. No. 734 Proceedings" This Manner of answering without any Reasons to shew the Weakness of Our Arguments we took Notice of in Our Message of the 5th Instant and there mentioned Our Expectation, "that you would have pointed out to Us particularly what force those Arguments had to perswade you of the Justice of Your Proceeding" To this by Your Message of yesterday you with the same Conciseness as before say, that the Justice of Your Proceedings you hope speaks it self, And then you add that The Observation We made with Regard to Our Arguments against passing the Bill for Support of Government seemed to you too ludicrous for a Subject of so great Importance and calculated with the rest of Our Message rather to display a Peice of Wit than to bring that Affair to an amicable Conclusion"

We must own Ourselves very much at a Loss how to come to a Right Understanding of any Subject upon which We may be so unhappy as to differ from your House in opinion, If Your Behaviour in this Matter has been right and proper, and Ours wrong and impertinent; for thus it shortly stands We offer Arguments for Our Opinion you tell us, those Arguments confirm you the more in a contrary Opinion, We intimate Our Expectation of being informed how you apprehended those Arguments turned against Us, and confirmed Your own Opinion, to this you only say, The Justice of Your Proceeding speaks it Self and that Our Observations are ludicrous or witty, this surely cannot be termed Reason and a Proper Method to reconcile Our Differences in Judgment

However little obliged to us his Lordship might think himself by Our Opinion of his Right of levying Money merely because you had not shewn Reasons for denying it; we think you had no Room to insinuate Our Opinion was grounded merely on Want of Reasons from you for your Denyal: Be pleased to recollect, that we found Our Opinion of his Lordships Right, on the Act of 1704 You instead of offering any Arguments to us against that Law barely tell us in your Message of the 4th Instant, that you deny his Lordships Right of levying that money because his Lordship never had any such Right by such Law, which is just the same thing, as if you had said, that his Lordship had not the Right because he had not the Right And are We not then well justifyed in saying upon this Point that We could not be convinced barely by the Authority of such a Denyal without some Reasons to inforce it

If that Part of Our Message which mentions an Attack on the Government in Order to deprive them of a support they are in Pos-
p. 55 session of, is, as well as that Part supported by Reason, And then the Argument is very short; you expressly confess in your Message of the 4th Instant that, His Lordship has been in Possession of the Support of Government ever since the Year 1733" It is very manifest, you now endeavour to deprive him of it; And pray may not that Per-