

U. H. J. That this House with a Firmness becoming an Upper House of
 No. 734 Assembly Rejected A Bill which not only was big with the most
 Dangerous Consequences to every Individual Person in the Province
 And Would render the Government and whole Country Ridiculous
 and Contemptuous in the Eyes of Our neighbours, But also might
 draw a Severe Censure from the Throne for putting One of his
 Majestys Plantations under the Power of Certain Persons called
 Trustees whose Trust was to Survive to Each Other and their
 Persons irremoveable during the Continuance of the Law
 Adjourned till to Morrow Morning nine of the Clock

June 6

Wednesday Morning 6 June 1739

This house met again according to Adjournment

Present as yesterday

Read the second time the Bill Entituled an Act for the Relief of
 Levin Hill Thomas Gough Ezekiel Orrick Samuel Deavor and
 Thomas Somes languishing Prisoners in Ann Arundel County Goal
 Sarah Butcher a languishing Prisoner in Dorchester County Goal
 William Bigges a languishing Prisoner in St. Marys County Goal
 James Ranton Peter Hyatt languishing Prisoners in Prince Georges
 County Goal and William Gibson a languishing Prisoner in Queen
 Anns County Goal, passed and sent by James Hollyday Esq^r

A Message from the Lower House by Mess^{rs} Denton and Courts

By the Lower House of Assembly 6 June 1739

May it please Your Honours

The Justice of Our Proceedings in the Matter mentioned in Our
 Message of the 4th Instant, we hope speaks it self, and the Observa-
 tion you make with Regard to your Arguments against passing the
 Bill for support of Government seems to us too ludicrous for a Sub-
 ject of so great Importance, and calculated with the rest of your Mes-
 sage rather to display a Peice of Wit, than to bring that Affair to
 an amicable Conclusion

We cannot think his Lordship much obliged to you for your
 Opinion that he has a Right to levy Money on Us, merely because we
 had not shewn Reasons for denying it, even if that was the Case,
 we presume an indifferent Judge would expect him to shew a Reason
 for doing it.

P. 51 The Attack you suppose on the Government, in Order to deprive
 them of a support they are in Possession of, is a Peice with the rest
 of Your Message, if in private Life One Man violently took anothers
 money from him, the Party wronged would be scarcely called the
 Aggressor for endeavoring to recover it, or prevent his doing so
 again: But perhaps in the Logick of an Upper House, as well as