U. H. J. matter we doubt not you Imputed our making Use of such Arguments rather to our Good nature than our Weakness And therefore we might have expected a like Return of Goodness from you in pointing out to us particularly what Force those Arguments had to perswade you of the Justice of your Proceeding, Especially since by that means We might perhaps have been also Convinced of the same thing, But as you have not been Pleased to Vouchsafe to us that Satisfaction We must Conclude that you are unwilling or Unable to sett us right

You indeed deny his Lordships right of Levying money by Virtue of the Act of 1704 But as we Cannot be convinced barely by the Authority of such a Denyall without some Reasons to inforce it we are still of Opinion that His Lordship has such Right, by that Law of 1704 which as you very truly Insinuate is not repealed And although you will not Agree with us as to the right yet you very Candidly Admit His Lordship has been in Possession of a Support of Government ever since the year 1733 If that is his Lordships Case as you say it is, We are at a Loss to know How you can be said to be Attacked on the Contrary, not only We, But even you and the rest of the World must say That the Government Confessedly in Possession of a Support is Attacked in Order to Deprive them of it

We should not be less Pleased to be Convinced than to Convince, And we are Assured you would not have been at a Loss to show the same Terms of appropriation and uses in other Laws as were incerted in the Bill for raising and Annual Revenue &c. if there had been any such

We must Acknowledge Ourselves greatly Mistaken in what Concerns His Majestys other Plantations if the Doctrine of Raising money by perpetual Laws Is so Harsh as you are Pleased to Represent it But the Perpetual Law Amongst Our Neighbours in the Colony of Virginia for the Support of that Government the late Perpetual Law of 1692 and the Present of 1704 in this Province for the same Purpose As also the frequent Royal Instructions requiring the same in other Plantations satisfy us that the mention of such p. 49 Laws is not only usual to English Ears but Agreable to His Majestys Pleasure

The Bill Intituled an Act to raise a Fund to be Applied for the Payment of an Agent and other necessary Uses of this Province which you were Pleased to send to us We now return with our negative to it, For However necessary and convenient it may be that there should be an Agent at the Imperial Seat, or (If we may be Permitted to say) at the Court, of Great Britain, yet We cannot but think it reasonable and Decent that the Concurrence of the Governor and Upper House should be made necessary in the Nomination and Appointment of the Person Designed for that Imployment.