U. H. J. Lib. No. 33 April 22

Tuesday Morning 22^d April 1735 This House met again according to Adjournment

Present as Yesterday

The following Message is sent by George Plater Esq^r

By the Upper House of Assembly 22^d April 1735 Gentlemen.

In answer to your Message of yesterday by M^{rs} Key and Hemsley relating to the 3^d p hh^d raised between 29th Septem^r 1732 & 29th September 1733 We say that altho there is not any present Occasion for using that mony (yet considering the present State of Affairs in Europe) We know not how soon there may & as the present Stock of mony for purchasing Arms &c is but small We ought in Prudence to make the best provision We can against any Accident that may happen; besides his Excell having communicated to us a Message which he has prepared to your House wherein he seems desirous to p. 357 have the mony applied to the uses It was intended for. We therefore hope your House will prepare a Short Bill to apply it accordingly

Signed p Order John Ross Ct Up Ho.

The following message with the Bill for ascertaining the Gauge and Tare of Tobacco hh^{ds} is sent by Philip Lee Esq^r

By the Upper House of Assembly 22d April 1735

On reading and considering your Message vesterday by Mess^{rs} Hooper and Smith relating to the Bill for ascertaining the Gauge and Tare of Tobo hhds We observe that the reason you give for not inserting the Paragraph proposed by Our house obliging the masters of Ships and Other Sea Vessels not to take on Board any hhd or hhds of tobo exceeding the Gauge in the said Bill mentioned, is, because you presume that many hhds already made packed and prized do exceed that Gauge whereunto We answer that the Time given till the 20th September next is long enough for any such tobacco to be Shipped of, And therefore We cannot think of the reason afd Sufficient to hinder the adding that Paragraph to the Bill especially Considering that a fine imposed on the masters for taking on board any hhds above the Gauge will certainly be the most effectual means to hinder any Person from exceeding it. Wherefore we must Still insist upon that Addition to the Bill And We must further observe to you that the same Reason insisted on by your House is much Stronger against that part of the Bill relating to the Inhabitants of