

Which Journal so Subscribed was sent down by Philip Lee U. H. J. Esq<sup>r</sup> who returns & says he delivered it

This House Adjourns till to Morrow Morning Eight of the Clock.

Friday Morning November the 5<sup>th</sup> 1725

Met again according to Adjournment

Present

the Honble	{	Col. William Holland	} Members of the Upper House
		Col <sup>o</sup> Samuel Young	
		Philemon Lloyd Esq <sup>r</sup>	
		Col <sup>o</sup> Richard Tilghman	
		Benjamin Tasker Esq <sup>r</sup>	
		Philip Lee Esq <sup>r</sup>	

A Message from the Lower House by M<sup>r</sup> Crabb and M<sup>r</sup> p 113  
Courts as follows (viz.)

By the Lower House of Assembly November the 4<sup>th</sup> 1725

May it please Your Hon<sup>rs</sup>

There would be no more Unreasonableness in your expecting another Allowance from the publick than in ours if we had one Allowance already rais'd for us by the Country, and expected another as you do. If you be at a loss to find Unhandsome usage in your own Messages towards us, it must at least be owing to your forgetfulness or Oversight. It seems even by your Message of Yesterday, as if you thought the Treatment we Complained of before, as unhandsome and insulting was yet too good for the representatives of yourselves and the people in this Legislature; or otherwise we cannot think you would have so considerately insisted that we deserved the Character you gave us of being an Obstinate people and Obstinate Adhering to our opinions; if you had intended to have Expressed yo<sup>r</sup>selves in A parliamentary way we are sure you might have found Softer Terms: But if you were resolved to stirr dissentions you could not well have Chosen rougher to Express Your Sense in. We Complained of this as ill Treatment in yo<sup>r</sup> forms, But now by this Message Yo<sup>r</sup> Correspondence itself becomes vexatious; You fully Satisfie us by your latter that when in your former Message you seem'd displeas'd with us, for Saying you were only Assistants to the prerogative it was not a Mistake which we at first neglected as one but by yo<sup>r</sup> more considerately reflecting on it and repeating the same thing in yesterdays