province near a fourth part of the former Regulation; But U. H. J. since you do not think fit to pass it upon those Terms the fault is not ours, nor will the Consequences if prejudiciall to the People lie at our Doors.

Signed p order Geo. Plater Cl. Up Ho.

Sent down by Philip Lee Esq<sup>r</sup> who returns and says he delivered it

A Message from the Lower house by Capt<sup>n</sup> King and Capt. Hooper viz

By the Lower House of Assembly November 3<sup>d</sup> 1725. May it please Your Hon<sup>rs</sup>

We have Read and Considered the Report of the Conferrees in respect to Captain Riders Affairs and are of opinion the Paragraph in the late Law made Anno 1723 concerning the Indians Lands relating to the said Rider ought to be Repealed and the said Rider remain in the same Circumstances as he did before the making that Law and therefore we do not Concurr with that report

Signed p Order M. Jenifer Cl. Lo. Ho.

Which is ordered to lye on the Table.

This House Adjourns till to Morrow Morning Eight of the Clock.

Thursday Morning November the 4th 1725

This House met again according to Adjournment, Present p. III as Yesterday

By the Upper House of Assembly November the 4<sup>th</sup> 1725 Gentlemen.

Upon considering yor Message of Yesterday by Captain King and Captain Hooper relating to the report of the Conferrees Upon the Subject of Indian Lands, we dont find any Paragraph in the Act of 1723 granting Indian Possession which relates to Capt<sup>n</sup> Rider in particular he being upon the same foot with others who had Lands Surveyed within those Indian Limits But if by repealing a Paragraph of the late Act You intend only that the Indian Possession by the late Act should lye under the like Limitation as the Same was heretofore Limitted by A proviso in the Act for ascertaining the Bounds of a certain Tract of Land to the Use of the Nanti-