L. H. J. thority and affronting the persons of the Judges he pleaded before, Dureing a Special Court of Oyer & Terminer to proceed against criminals for drinking the Pretenders health and audaciously Cursing his Sacred Majesty King George and for fireing the city Guns on the Supposed birthday of the Pretender. His Publickly affronting the Chancellor in the Execution of his office, And thô ordered by the Right Honourable the Lord Proprietor to make his reasonable Submission willfully declineing it. His being so turbulent and insolent a person that the Judges would not Sitt if he was Suffered to plead before them. His being often Suspended on his Misdeeds and readmitted on his fair promises of Amendment, Yet still persisting in his like insolent behavior &c^a As Appears more at
p. 107 large by the Act and the representation of the Magistrates against him. Yet we find in Moore's Reports page 827 by the Opinion of my Lord Chancellor in his Speech to Sr Henry Mountague Chief Justice of the Kings bench in King James the first's time wherein he Directs him to admonish, to reprehend and to Correct Lawyers that observe not that Discretion and duty which becomes them and that if he found a threatening way of pleading in any babbling and Tumultuous Lawyers, he should not only Injoyn them Silence but Sequester them from their practice and Exercise before him if he Saw cause, but Still with that care and respect that the Clyents cause should not be prejudiced.

And by Other books as well as by the Middle parragraph of Sr Edward Northeys Opinion, those Matters Appear to us to be a Sufficient Cause for Suspending such a practitioner from his practice, any thing in the said Serjeant Pengellys opinion to the Contrary thereof in anywise Notwithstanding.

That we do not find this Act Liable to the Imputation of the Severity, being Conformable to the said Lord Chancellor's directions as to the Substance of it both in Suspending the Lawyer, and regarding his Clyents causes (as was the said Macnemara's Suspencōn in the Chancery Court exactly conformable to Sr Edward Northys Opinion) but if it were unpresedented as to the Manner of passing it, so is the Manners of the man it Affects

p. 108 As to Sr Edward Northey's opinion that the Allegations of the Act are too Generall We humbly offer that it seems to us as if he took the Legislative Body of this Province to be a Grand Jury, and the Act to be a Bill of Indictment by them found to which he takes exceptions for incertainty.

But we are humbly of opinion that the allegations in a law need not be so particularly and certainly Exprest as in an Indictment, And we hope there is some further dependance to