

U. H. J. Sufficiently Evince the Necessity of Convening us at this Time Altho ill convenient to our Private Affairs but as we shall preferr the Publick good to any Private Intrest of our Own we shall Endeavour with such Unanimity and Diligence to Apply and Exert ourselves to the Dispatch of the Business now before us As may Render Ourselves Equally Acceptable to Your Excy & our Country.

Wee are thankfull for their Lordships the Lords Guilford & Baltemores Approbation of Our late Proceedings in Asserting the Authority of his Court and ꝑsons of his Ministers And we shall most sincerely Endeavour to meritt the Like Approbation by using Our utmost Indeavours for the Strengthening and Continuance thereof. Thô we must Acknowledge that we are under some Concern to find the Justice of the Legislature Questioned upon the Private Opinion of some
 p. 39 Gent of the Law when we Doubt not but if the Reasons that moved them to the passing of that Act Both as to the Matter and manner of it had been heard by Disinterested ꝑsons their Opinion would rather Inclined their Lordships to have confirmed than Repealed it And we must Declare to your Excy tis Surprizing to find that Gent so Eminent for Learning in the Laws of Great Brittain as those that have assigned their Opinion in that Case should Assert that a Lawyers Contemning the Authority and Affronting the ꝑsons of the Judges he pleads before & Daring a Court of Judicature to ꝑceed ag^t Criminals for whom he Pleads & the Other Reasons assigned in the Preamble of that Law Especially in his persisting in a Continued Course of Misbehaviour were not suff^t Causes for the Courts that were so used by him to barr him of his Practice before them and it seems much more Surprizing that the Legislative Body of this Province should be accused by the Opinion of those Gent of being unjust and Arbitrary in their ꝑceedings for making a Law on the Representation of those Magistrates which Only Add Life and Vigour to their Resolutions in that part.

Wee believe your Excy was not Apprized of that Law being past in the Lower House without hearing the Perticular ꝑson it affected nor of their Reason for their so Doing one of Which Among many Others (which we shall begg Leave to Remark
 p. 40 hereafter is) That tho we had Good Reason to Believe he had notice of the first Vote that Passt for it yet that he made no Application to be heard till after the Engrost Bill Came from the Uper House Assented to at w^{ch} Time we Adjudged his Proper Application lay to your Excy it seeming unparliamentary to Call in Question a bill Solemnly past by us and another is That the Court before whom he pleaded having Condemned his Behaviour the Judges whereof were the