

Lib. J. R. 5^{thly} It was proved that Col. Veazey in one Instance de-
& U. S. clared the Opinion of the Court differently from the Sense
of the Majority, as it appeared afterwards from the opinions
they delivered seriatim, but we beg Leave to observe to your
Excellency that from the usual Manner of collecting the
opinions of the Magistrates, this might easily have proceeded
from Mistake, and it appeared by no Evidence, that it was
done by Design.

6^{thly} It was proved that after a Matter of Law had been
debated, and there had been an equal Division of the Magis-
trates, and it was ordered to be again argued the next Day,
Col^s Veazey and Bayard after the Departure of the other
Magistrates (except one) ordered Judgment to be entred in
the Evening but that an Attorney on the other Side being
present interposed, and prevented an Entry of the Judgment.

7^{thly} It did not appear to us by proper Proofs, that there
is a good Foundation for the Charge ag^t Col^s Veazey and
Bayard hearing Causes ex partè out of Doors, and after-
wards using their Influence in Court for the party whose
Cause they had espoused, and we think that they ought not
to be affected by the Directions, which Lawyers may have
received from their Clients.

8^{thly} and lastly the very general Charge of their having
acted inhumanly, partially, and from private Views, we shall
p. 238 take no Notice of to your Excellency as the Magistrates
can't be supposed to have had a sufficient opportunity of
justifying their Conduct in the Instances to which the Evi-
dence was applied under this Article.

Upon the whole it is the Opinion of this Board that Col:
Bayard has in many Instances misbehaved in his Office, and
that Col: Veazey in the Instances mentioned under the 4th
and 6th Articles did not behave with the Discretion and
Impartiality a Magistrate ought to observe in the Execution
of his Office and that particularly in the Matter mentioned
under the 4th Head, his Misbehaviour seems to have pro-
ceeded from a very partial Regard to Col: Bayard but with
due Deference it is submitted to your Excellency.

Samuel Chamberlaine, Benjamin Tasker jun: Rich^d Lee
W^m Goldsborough Rob^t Jenckins Henry. Daniel Dulany,
15th April 1758.