

U. H. J. Mr Turbutt & Mr R<sup>d</sup> Wharfield brought up the following message—

By the Lower House of Assembly  
August 4<sup>th</sup> 1716

May it please your Honours—

In answer to your Message this morning by Col Whittington about the Fines on Ordinary Licences We are of opinion that Entring on the Debates and Controversys which We apprehend will arise on that Subject (this House not being satisfied that the right is in my Lord Baltimore) whereby this Session must necessarily continue longer than Otherwise It would be will prove a greater Inconveniency than any can happen by referring the said Fines & the right of them to the consideration of next Session And therefore pray your Honours to agree to a reference of the Consideration of them till that time.

Signed p order Tho<sup>s</sup> Macnemara Cl ho. Del.

p. 269 Mr W<sup>m</sup> Young and Cap<sup>t</sup> Frisby brought up the following Message Viz.

By the Lower House of Assembly  
August the 4<sup>th</sup> 1716

May It please your Honours—

As to the Amendments proposed in the Bill for securing the peace & Safety of his Lordship's Government &c. They seem to this House to be such as would give the persons thereby intended to be restrained greater Liberty than was ever intended them by former Laws of this Province Which are yet in force and We are humbly of opinion as that Act for obliging the Oath of Abjuration to be taken by Officers &c. had no such provisional Exemption as that proposed in the aforementioned Bill And yet was not construed to extend to those that are designed to be exempted by such proviso so we believe the said Act would as fully answer the design of both Houses if the s<sup>d</sup> proposed Exemption were wholly omitted

Signed p order Tho<sup>s</sup> Macnemara Cl Lo Ho.

The Board adjourned till nine of the Clock on Monday Morning