

U. H. J. Service of the Country Shews more reflection than Judgement & notwithstanding that It meritts particular Resentm<sup>t</sup> yet we shall so far consider the Interest of the Province to shew you how Essential It is for its Welfare we should cultivate a good understanding with you

That at present we shall pass it by & not neglect anything that may be reasonably proposed for the Ease and Benefitt of her Majestys Subjects And therefore since you think this Law so absolutely necessary at this Time (if you desire it) shall not refuse a Conference with you concerning it

This Board not having any objection to the easing the good People but being desirous to supply some Deficiencys & obviate other Inconveniencys therein which we were unwilling to Enter upon this Session expecting the Arrival of a Governour in Chief in a Short Time Who we cannot but believe will be more fully instructed in her Majesty's good Pleasure toward her Subjects here than the Honble Presid<sup>t</sup> at present is of which you must allow us to be more Competent Judges than your House

Signed p Order W Bladen Cl Ho.

p. 755 Carried down to the House by Col Holland and Col Greenberry Col Addison & Col Tilghman

The Board adjourned until one of the Clock to morrow being the 5th Novem<sup>r</sup> and Gunpowder Treason Day

Wednesday November the 5th 1712

The Council Sate Present as yesterday

Col Hoskins and Seven other Delegates bring up the Report from the Conference about the Law for Appeals and Writts of Error with the House's Concurrence thereto

Which being read and Considered the following Message was Sent to the House by Col Addison and Cap<sup>t</sup> Dorsey

By the Council in Assembly Novem<sup>r</sup> 5th 1712

This Board agree to the first part of the Conference relating to the Courts of common Law but desire that part relating to Appeals from the Court of Chancery may be omitted no Appeals lying from the Court of Chancery here to the Court of Chancery in Great Brittain nor any Appeals to her Majesty in Council but where the Thing in Controversy exceeds 300 l<sup>s</sup> Sterl. Wherefore the s<sup>d</sup> Clause will not only be needless but of different nature too to the Title of the Law proposed

Signed p Order W Bladen Cl Coun.