Lib. H. D.

Lawyers

consulted

October the 17th 1695.

His Excellency having sent for the severall Law-

Jurisdiction diction of a Court of Delegates whether the said of a Court have not a parallell Jurisdiction with the Govern' & Councill for hearing Appeals & Writs of Whitehall Error, Viz' in the obligation of their either affirming or reversing the sentence of the Commissary Generall, or

reversing the sentence of the Commissary Generall, or whether they can affirm one part of the sentence and let alone another, and whether they may make a Judgm^t of their own.

And accordingly appeared M^r Attorney & Sollicitor Gen^{ll} M^r Cheseldyn, M^r Carvile, M^r Carroll, M^r Clarke, and the Case being stated vnto them, and after some debate & consultation of the matter, they desire to consult their Books before they give any positive Opinion therein; therevpon his Ex^{ncy} in his Ma^{ts} name did Command & Ord^r that for the service of his Majesty & future good of the Countrey they would Return each of them their Opinion vnder their hand of the true State of the same, what the Law says in that Case.

Lawyers His Excellency further puts to them if in case any Opinion Judge Enters his Dissent to the Judgmt of the rest Judges Dis- of the Judges sitting in Judgmt with him, whether such Judge dissenting shall not therevpon give or Whitehall tring &ca shew some Reason for such his Dissent, & whether the same should be Entred in the Record or not, who are Unanimously of Opinion that any Judge may Enter his Dissent without shewing any Reason, and that such Dissent ought to be Enter'd in the Clerks Minute Book fair writ out, but not p. 228 in the Record, And therevoon Ordered that the same Rule be observed in all & singular the Courts of Justice within this Province, and that the Clerks of the said Courts be constantly obliged to fair write out every Courts Minutes to be safely kept among the rest of the Record Books, it being adjudged by the Lawyers here that the same will be of very great vse in severall respects.

The Opinions of the severall Attorneys on the aforegoing Case are as follows, Viz.

To his Exncy ffrancis Nicholson Esqr Capt Gen¹¹ & Governr of Maryland &c²

Mr Dents I have not since your Exncy commanded my Opinion Attendance seen the question you were pleased to Jurisdiction state last night, but if I mistake not it was, what the of a Court of Delegates power & Authority of a Court of Delegates in this Province is what their Jurisdiction is, and by what