- Liber B. Security of her forthcoming to be answerable to his clayme of Service from her, he refusing to release her, It is also Ordered that the Deft Shall Satisfie and pay all ffees & Charges of Imprisonment hereby by her Incurred.
  - P. 333 Upon the Mocon of Collo William Cleyborne and Upon reading of an Order of Court of the 22th of Aprill last made between his Lordps Attorney General plt and Mrs Mary Brent Defdt It is Ordered as formerly that the hearing of the Matter in question be respited till the next Assembly, that Collo Clayborne may by himself or his Attorney then make his Clayme to the Beefe in question if he think fitt.

The Court riseing the Governor appointed the next Provinc<sup>11</sup> and General Court for this County of S<sup>t</sup> Maries to be held the twentieth day of November next ensueing.

At a Court held at St Maries the 14th of July 1652 upon a speciall warrant

prsent { The Governor Collo ffran: Yardley } Mr Thomas Hatton & Mr Job Chandler

Lawrence Starky Esq. by Mr John Carrington and Nicholas
Thomas Matthews his attorney ph John Carrington & Richd Whight defts

Whight this day appearing upon an arrest according to a Speciall

p. 334 warrant for that purpose and Mr Thomas Matthews the plts Attorney on the plts behalf clayming them as Servants, and chargeing them with much neglect in those imploym<sup>ts</sup> wherw<sup>th</sup> they had been intrusted. The Defd<sup>ts</sup> alleadged they had been discharged of their Service by Articles of Agreement heretofore made between Thomas Copley Esq deceased and them dated the 23th of December last whereby in Consideracon of their ffreedom they were to make a Cropp, and to pay unto the S<sup>d</sup> M<sup>r</sup> Copley his Successors or assignes Certain quantities of Tob: at the times therein limitted And that they had pitched a Cropp accordingly, but that by reason of much rain the plants had been drowned, and that there had been noe neglect on their parts, But the Defd<sup>ts</sup> expressing themselves unable or unwilling to give Security for performance of the Covenants on their part, And upon perusal of the sd Articles of Agreement, It appearing that the Sd Mr Copley had not absolutely thereby freed the Sd Carrington and Whight, but upon Condicon of their performance of the Covenants on their parts therein expressed, and the Court being of Opinion, that a bargain of that nature, betwixt the Master and his apprentice Servants was of noe Validity in Law, Doth therefore for a final end of the matter in question think fitt, And it is accordingly Ordered that the Said Articles of Agreement or Covenants be from henceforth voyd and Null, And that the Said Defdts Car-