

Letter Bk. III such a thing cannot be brought about yet I should be obliged to you for enquiring of some Person who You think may know whether it would be possible to get his name on the List again & if it would what Steps must be taken by him or any one else in order to have it effected.

Letter Bk. IV
p. 209

[Sharpe to Calvert.]

7th Augst 1761—

Sir

Captain Curling having at Length been pleased to send me from Patapsco the long Box which was committed to his Care containing Your Letter dated the 8th of April, the Mathematicians answer to the queries that were submitted to their Consideration, the Telescope, Sector & sundry Magazines & Gazettes I dispatch this on board Capt Walters who is I expect already under Sail that you may be advised of my having received the abovementioned Articles at the same time that you get my Letter of the 3^d Inst wherein I expressed some uneasiness at not having had the pleasure to receive a Line from you by the Fleet. If the Theodolite was also dld to Capt Curling I presume I shall get it when he has another opportunity of sending any thing hither. If Mess^{rs} Hanbury gave him any particular Directions about delivering the Box he must have been very forgetful for when he brought me a Letter from those Gent^l a Day or two after he arrived I asked him particularly whether he had not a Packett or something else for me. I suppose Your packett to Col^o Lloyd contains protested Bills, it shall be forwarded to him by the first Opportunity I am &c—

[Sharpe to Calvert.]

17th of Augst 1761 transmitted by Capt Etherington—

Sir

p. 210 Since I wrote to you the 3^d Inst (by the Captains Walters & M^r Gachin) to inform you that Capt Curling had at length been pleased to send me the long Box which had been committed to his Care I have received Your Letter dated the 10th of June together with those from M^r Penn & M^r Wilmot which were inclosed. I am very glad to find that the Proprietors of Pennsylvania agree that the Boundary Line shall be an Arch or part of a Parallel of Latitude & not an Arch of a Great Circle for I perceive that D^r Bevis is of Opinion that a true East & West Line is not a Parallel of Latitude & vice versa: tho in this he directly contradicts what has been asserted by