

him that if I should bee for him I should contradict the order of the said Court which did not condemne the goods that were bona fide taken in in England, and that if the goods in the George and putt on board the Liverpoole Merchant had cocquetts this deponent did not condemne them or to that or the like effect and this deponent did perswade the said Mr Rousby not to stand suite with them. But the said Mr Rousby seemed very angry and sayed that the goods taken out of the George were condemned and as for the other goods mentioned in the Liverpoole Cocquetts or so many of them as hee had taken into his possession and seizure hee seemed willing to restore. But the said Christofer Rousby finding a defect in the said declaration by miscasting of the same in the pticular account of the goods to the said declaration annexed mentioned hee pleaded in abatem^t of the said action and his plea was allowed and the plaintiffs were forced to suffer a nonsuite with costs. And this Deponent further sayeth That in all probabillity the plaintiffs would have beene otherwise nonsuited by reason they could not procure the Cocquetts aforesaid either from the said Christofer Rousby or the said other officer appointed for entry of shippes here, and soe could not make out their charge against the said Christopher Rousby but the said Cocquetts were still deteyned from them. But the said Mark Cordea goeing for England to Liverpoole aforesaid promised to procure duplicates of the said Cocquetts of the Liverpoole Merchant and George to cleere the matters aforesaid In expectacōn whereof the said Robert Ridgeley, did, the three and twentyeth day of November in the year of our Lord 1680 file a new Bill against the said Christopher Rousby and amended the former mistake. And the said Christofer Rousby appeared thereunto and impled till the next Court and pleaded not guilty and the cause standing afores^d ready for a tryall and the said Christofer Rousby pressing on for a tryall the plaintiffs wanting their Cocquetts were forced to suffer another nonsuite with costs as by the said proceedings may more at large appeare. And this deponent further sayeth that he this deponent did receive a Letter from the said Mark Cordea dated at Liverpoole the tenth day of February 168^o wherein he mentiones that he had by his last dated the 20th of October by the way of London sent enclosed the Cocquetts of the Liverpoole Merchant and the George and to that effect he wrote to his Wife and to the said Robert Ridgeley but the said letters never came to any our hands to this Deponents knowledge. And this deponent sayeth that since the said nonsuits there have beene severall overtures of an agreement betweene the said Mr Rousby and the said Cordea and Addison about the said goods but no end