

Maries all under Exeq<sup>n</sup> Edw: Commins appearing & denyeth Liber A.  
th<sup>t</sup> he carryed any such p<sup>r</sup>sons or estate away out of the  
County. the plf not hauing euidence ready in Court, to prouc  
concerning the exportaōn of the fores<sup>d</sup> Bostons estate desyres  
respite in th<sup>t</sup> part untill the Cou<sup>t</sup> in January next. w<sup>ch</sup> was  
graunted. And the Jury goeing on the other part of the demand  
Returned their Verdict in writing. Viz fownd for the plf 1175<sup>t</sup>  
Tob: & cask & the Gou<sup>r</sup> commanded it to be entred for the  
iudgm<sup>t</sup>

Capias ad Satisfaciend.

4<sup>o</sup> Nouemb. Copie Eod. to M<sup>r</sup> Commins.

Vppon the demand of Rob<sup>t</sup> Smith plf uers Walter Beane  
def<sup>t</sup> for a heighfer & her increase, The def<sup>t</sup> denyeth th<sup>t</sup> he  
deteyneth any Beast of the plfs & alleageth th<sup>t</sup> he bought the  
Beast now in question of M<sup>r</sup> Jn<sup>o</sup> Lewger about a yeare and  
halfe agoe. Touching the s<sup>d</sup> demand.

Edward Packer deposeth & sayth, th<sup>t</sup> M<sup>r</sup> Lewger sold a  
Beast to Walter Beane somewhat pyde w<sup>ch</sup> this Dep<sup>t</sup> then  
veiwng, doth certainly yett rememb<sup>r</sup> th<sup>t</sup> both eares were  
underkeeld when he the s<sup>d</sup> M<sup>r</sup> Lewger made deliury thereof  
unto the s<sup>d</sup> Walter Beane. And further he sayth, th<sup>t</sup> it seemed  
then to him That both eares were cropd.

Walter Beane being demanded uppon oath, sayth That the  
Beast, w<sup>ch</sup> is now claymed by the plf, was neu<sup>r</sup> marked or  
altered from the mrk shee now hath & by w<sup>ch</sup> he receaued her  
eyther by himselfe or by any other through his appoyntm<sup>t</sup> And  
The Jury brought in Verdict. Wee find for the def<sup>t</sup> And the  
Gou<sup>r</sup> dismissed the def<sup>t</sup> w<sup>th</sup>out day.

Vppon the demand of Henry Mosely of Chicicoan plf agst p. 198  
Will<sup>m</sup> Lewis def<sup>t</sup> for 1200<sup>t</sup> Tob. for wrongfully deteyning a  
boate from the plf. The def<sup>t</sup> p<sup>r</sup> Attornatū W<sup>m</sup> Bretton, denyeth  
th<sup>t</sup> eu<sup>r</sup> he did damnify the plf in deteyning any Boate, w<sup>ch</sup> was  
his from him. But sayth th<sup>t</sup> he liath a Boate, w<sup>ch</sup> the plf layeth  
clayme to, and keepeth her by order of Justice, w<sup>ch</sup> was for-  
merly adiudged to him by this Court. And the Jury brought  
in their Verdict. Viz fownd for the def<sup>t</sup> And the def<sup>t</sup> was  
dismissed w<sup>th</sup>out day.

Vppon the demand of Humphrey Howell plf. uers Anthony  
Rawlins def<sup>t</sup> for 30<sup>t</sup> Tob & 2 dayes worke. The def<sup>t</sup> denyeth  
any such clayme to bee due. The plf replied th<sup>t</sup> he payd 30<sup>t</sup>  
To ffrancis Vanden for the def<sup>t</sup> And the s<sup>d</sup> ffrancis demanded  
uppon oath, sayth th<sup>t</sup> hee doth not rememb<sup>r</sup> any such matter  
& the plf not being able to make prooue of his demand, the  
def<sup>t</sup> was dismissed w<sup>th</sup>out day.