an House merely to hold Courts of Assemblys in apart from U. H. any victualling House whatsoever And this House do think Journal 1659-98 that all Ordinary Keepers are as well secured already as in Justice or reason can be required

Which Answer being sent to the Lower House they returned the paper Endorsed viz.

## Monday 20th April 1666

This House do concur with the Upper House as to the repeal of the Act concerning Building a Stadt House & as touching the lease of the Land for 51 Years, but that the Clause for Smiths keeping Ordinary for Seven Years in this old House & for repairing the same remain of force & that 155561b tob.º Collected by the several Sherriffs this Year for building the State House for the use of the s.d Smith be discounted with him this year upon the Assemblys Expences

Will<sup>m</sup> Bretton Clk.

Then was returned from the Lower house the Act against

Hogstealers and Endorsed viz.

Upon the reading of this Act against Hogstealers this House observes there is not in the Paragraphs expressing the first & second Offence of Hogstealers the Satisfaction Provided to the Owner to the Owner of such Hogs so stoln as was by this house intended to be provided which if it should so pass would prove very injurious to the Owners of such Hogs & not reach the main End for which that Act was intended, & therefore do desire the Upper House's further debate thereon & would p. 97 signify their Reasons why those Fines in the Act drawn up in this House & sent to the Upper House may not be imposed

## To which It was answered

Let there be added a Clause of payment of double damage to the Owner of the hogs for every hog stol'n for the first & second Offence only & this house will Concur & assent to it, the other Fines they think too great to be added to so infamous punishment

Then was returned from the Lower House the Act prohibiting the employing of Indians Endorsed vizt

## Thursday 19th April 1666

This House requests that the Upper House will please to reassume their debate concerning this Act prohibiting the Employing of Indians &c. they thinking it very needful that that Act should pass

W<sup>m</sup> Bretton Clk