

ANSWER

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR FREDERICK COUNTY, MARYLAND.

NO. 16,357 EQUITY

HARRY M. RODERICK and
EULA I. RODERICK, his wife,
PLAINTIFFS

VS.

ELMER E. RODERICK, et al.
DEFENDANTS

TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF SAID COURT:

The Answer of Andrew V. Roderick and Sally J. Roderick, his wife, Helen Roderick Nicodemus and Edgar Nicodemus, her husband, and Garland P. Feaga, to the Bill of Complaint filed in this cause, respectfully shows unto your Honors:

FIRST: That your Respondents neither admit nor deny the allegations contained in the first, second, third, fourth, fifth, sixth, seventh, eighth, ninth, tenth, eleventh, twelfth, thirteenth, fourteenth, fifteenth, sixteenth, seventeenth and eighteenth paragraphs of said Bill of Complaint.

SECOND: Further answering said Bill of Complaint, your Respondents consent in so far as they can do so, and respectfully recommend that this Honorable Court grant the relief therein prayed.

WHEREFORE, having fully answered in singular and all the allegations of the said Bill of Complaint, your Respondents pray that they may be hence dismissed with their proper costs.

And as in duty bound, etc.

Andrew V. Roderick
Andrew V. Roderick

Sally J. Roderick
Sally J. Roderick

Helen Roderick Nicodemus
Helen Roderick Nicodemus

Edgar F. Nicodemus
Edgar Nicodemus

Garland P. Feaga
Garland P. Feaga